

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_117356
Title of the Manuscript:	Food and Feeding Habits of Gerres filamentosus in the Cochin Backwaters.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript offers valuable information on the feeding ecology of Gerres filamentosus, contributing to the broader understanding of fish species' dietary habits and their ecological roles in aquatic ecosystems.</p> <p>2. The title is concise, descriptive, and relevant to the study, making it suitable for attracting the attention of readers interested in fish ecology and feeding behavior.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a clear and concise summary of the main outcomes of the study, giving readers a good understanding of the research focus and key findings.</p> <p>4. The manuscript structure appears appropriate as it systematically presents data on food composition, feeding habits, and seasonal variations, enhancing the readability and organization of the research findings.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript maintains scientific integrity through the systematic collection and analysis of data, adherence to established methodologies, and alignment with existing knowledge in the field of fish feeding ecology.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent references are also included</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the English quality is suitable and clear to understand the readers	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samad Sheikh
Department, University & Country	ICAR- Central Institute of Fisheries Education, India