

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_117356
Title of the Manuscript:	Food and Feeding Habits of Gerres filamentosus in the Cochin Backwaters.
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the work is good</p> <p>No, Seasonal variations of food and feeding habits of Common Silver biddy, Gerres filamentosus (Cuvier) in the Cochin backwater.</p> <p>Yes, but not meaningful</p> <p>Introduction – This part author wrote not clear. This work is related to aquaculture but author not given aquaculture information. Author wrote look like review of literature. If rewrite this part is good for my suggestion.</p> <p>Materials and methods - The Author not given the study area details, sample details (Fresh or Dead, Sample) and methodology details.</p> <p>Result and Discussion – The results are not incorporated properly in the running matter. Some sentences are repeated again and again. Author mentioned only month not year in the results part (Example March, April etc.). Mention of the year the results part and discussion part also the author not mentioned the years. In sentence making corrections are there. Mainly the author not using statistical Tools in this study. Statistical analysis is very import for Research article.</p> <p>Reference : In this part author is not followed Uniformity and Journal Format. (Attached Correction Document)</p> <p>Yes, But more corrections</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Mentioned above.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Ok. But more corrections are there.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Pavaraj
Department, University & Country	India