

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

JournalName:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_JABB_116782</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	ResponseofInorganic,OrganicFertilizerandmicrobialinoculantsonphysico-chemicalpropertiesofsoilincultivationofwheat(Triticumaestivum.L)var. PBW-373
TypeoftheArticle	Research

**PART 1:ReviewComments**

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment(ifagreed withreviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite
<p><b>CompulsoryREVISIONcomments</b></p> <p>1. Isthe manuscriptimportant forscientificcommunity? (Please writefewsentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthe titleofthe articlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstractofthe articlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureofthe manuscriptappropriate?</p> <p>5. Doyou thinkthe manuscriptisscientificallycorrect?</p> <p>6. Arethereferencesufficientand recent?Ifyou have suggestionofadditionalreferences,pleasementioninthe review form.</p> <p><u>(Apartfrom abovementioned 6points,reviewersare free toprovideadditional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. TheMStopicisgoodandalsoimportantforacademiciansandresearchers.</p> <p>2. Appropriate.</p> <p>3. Revision.</p> <p>4. Needscompleterevision.</p> <p>5. Partially.</p> <p>6. Needsrevisions,discussionpartissinging.</p>	
<p><b>MinorREVISIONcomments</b></p> <p>1. Islanguage/Englishqualityofthe article suitableforscholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Needs languagecheck</p>	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

Optional/General comments		
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The abstract can be improved as per the comments given in the body of the MS.</li><li>2. The introduction parts need revisions; the importance of the study is missing.</li><li>3. Material and methods were poorly presented, write in detail about methodology and needed formulas for calculating "The soil sample will then be air dried and run through a 2mm sieve in order to prepare it for chemical analysis (pH, EC, organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, as well as physical analysis (bulk density, particle density, pore space%, water holding capacity%)".</li></ol>	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. Results were poorly presented in the MS; this part needs complete revision.</li><li>5. The discussion part is missing from MS.</li><li>6. Conclusion needs revisions; must be rewritten in light of key findings.</li><li>7. The reference's part must be as per journal's format.</li></ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Aditya
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship and Management, India