

## EFFECT OF LONGTERM FERTILIZATION AND MANURING ON NUTRITIONALQUALITY OF MAIZE GRAIN

### ABSTRACT

A study on “Effect of long term fertilization and manuring on soil quality and nutritional quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.)” under finger millet-maize cropping system was carried out in LTFE plots which has been in progress since 1986 at UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru. Eleven treatments were laid in randomized block design with three replications. The hybrid maize was grown in LTFE plots during Rabi 2021-2022 (35<sup>th</sup> crop cycle) and qualities of grain were assessed. Application of 100 per cent NPK+ FYM+ lime recorded significantly higher grain quality viz., geometric mean diameter (7.63 mm), bulk density (791 kg m<sup>-3</sup>), 1000 grain mass (444.10 g), colour (L\*72.91, a\*4.68, b\*27.48), crude protein (10.35%), ash (1.73%), moisture (8.19%) and crude fibre (1.85%). Inorganic fertilization alone, 100% N, 100% NP and control treatments recorded poor quality grain of maize. The conjoint use of organic manures along with chemical fertilizers in balanced form is essential to maintain good soil quality and for quality maize production.

### INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) belongs to family, Poaceae has wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions and it is the most versatile emerging crops that has been successfully cultivated in diverse seasons and ecologies for various purposes. It is recognized globally as queen of cereals, due to its highest yield potential among cereals. However, maize has greater potential than rice and wheat to provide gainful employment and doubling farmers income.

Maize consists of three main parts- fiber-rich bran or husk, oil-rich germs and starchy endosperm. Normal maize grain under Indian conditions has average 14.9 per cent moisture content, 11.1 per cent protein, 3.6 per cent fat, 2.7 per cent fibre, 66.2 per cent other carbohydrates and 1.5 per cent minerals (National Institute of Nutrition, 2002). Every part of the maize plant has economic value. Grains, leaves, stalks, tassels and cobs can all be used in the production of a variety of food and non-food products. It is widely processed into various types of products such as starch, flour, cornmeal, grits, tortillas, snacks and breakfast cereals. Maize flour is used to make chapatis or flat breads.

Maize grain is a rich source of carbohydrates which possesses diverse usage as an industrial raw material. Corn oil is also gaining popularity due to its desirable fatty acid composition; rich source of linoleic acid (18:2), oleic acid (18:1), palmitic acid (16:2), stearic acid (18:0), small amounts of linolenic acid (18:3), and traces of other fatty acids. Compared

with other edible oils, maize oil has the advantage of having a low proportion of monosaturated fatty acids. This means that if you meet all the energy requirements from maize, you need about nearly 600 g per day. Starch is composed of amylose and amylopectin and variants of amylose and amylopectin have been reported up to a maximum of about 80 and 100 per cent, respectively.

In the current Indian agricultural scenario, sustainability is an issue and food security as well as nutritional quality is the need of the hour. This challenge becomes even more difficult as the population increases and agricultural land becomes narrower and smaller. In such a situation, it is essential to add fertilizers, manures, amendments etc. according to the requirements of the soil and crops.

Long term use of inorganic fertilizers with FYM is an excellent management system for soil organic carbon accumulation, sustaining yield and improving soil quality and increasing crop production. Long term fertilizer experiments (LTFEs) are the experiments conducted on the same set of experimental units over a sequence of treatments or crops or both for better understanding the effect of nutrient management on crop productivity, soil health and economics. Long term fertilizer experiments plays an important role in understanding the complex interactions involving crops, soils, climate, and management practices and their influence on crop production and soil quality. It provides valuable information on agricultural sustainability, environmental quality and nutrient uptake and physio-chemical changes of soil. The primary objective of any LTFE study is to assess changes in soil quality based on physical, chemical, and biological parameters of soil on the continuous use of manuring and fertilizer application on long term basis in a given cropping systems.

Modern agricultural systems have traditionally focused primarily on increasing productivity on one hand and nutritional quality of the produce on the another hand. Maize is a staple food for human beings and feed for animals. Human and animal health depends on the quality of food. Food quality inturn depends on the soil quality. Nutritional quality of grains is a highly complex trait. This is the collective result of nutritional, physiological, biochemical factors (Sujith *et al.* 2016). In addition to productivity, balanced nutrition also influences the nutritional quality of produce. Keeping in the view, its importance of soil health to crop production and human and animal nutrition, need to produce maize grain of superior nutritional quality is undeniable.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Experimental location and climate:**

The present investigation was carried out during 30<sup>th</sup> November 2021- April 2022 in an ongoing longterm fertilizer experiment started during 1986 at the “E-18” block, Zonal Agricultural Research Station of GKVK campus of University of Agricultural sciences, Bengaluru. In this long-term fertilizer experiment (LTFE), the 11 treatments listed earlier were imposed every year for the last 35 years. The research station is located at an altitude of 930 meters above MSL, latitude of 13° north, longitude of 77° 33” east.

### **Normal climatic condition:**

The normal mean annual rainfall of the station (1972-2021) is 921.0 mm. Major portion of rainfall was received from May to October with two peaks, the first peak in the month of May (107.6 mm) and the second peak in September to October (195.6 mm). The normal mean monthly maximum air temperature ranged between 26.3 °C to 33.8 °C and the monthly minimum air temperature ranged between 14 °C to 20.5 °C. The highest monthly temperature was recorded during (33.8 °C) and it was followed by May and March (33 °C and 32.7 °C, respectively). The mean monthly relative humidity ranged from 77 per cent in March to 89 per cent in August and September. The mean monthly bright sunshine hours were maximum during February (9.60) followed by March (9.30). The mean monthly wind speed was maximum during June (11.9 km hr<sup>-1</sup>) followed by July (11.6 km hr<sup>-1</sup>).

### **Actual weather conditions during the cropping season**

Actual rainfall received during the cropping season from November 2021 to April 2022 was 76.5 mm. The highest rainfall was obtained during November month (367.4 mm) and no rainfall was received till March 2022. The actual rainfall was lower than normal in the months of December and January and higher in the month of November. The average maximum air temperature also ranged from 33.5 °C to 27.5 °C. The average minimum air temperature ranged from 25.6 °C to 26.5 °C. The mean maximum temperature was lower in November and December (2021), while the same as normal in January, February, March and April (2022). The mean monthly relative humidity ranged from 87 per cent in November (2021) to 57.5 per cent in April (2022). The average relative humidity was more than normal in all the months of the cropping period. The mean bright sunshine hours ranged from 2.0 hours in November (2021) to 7.8 hours in April (2022). The mean wind speed ranged from 4.5 km hr<sup>-1</sup> in November (2021) to 5.7 km hr<sup>-1</sup> in April (2022). The soil of the study site has been classified as Typic Hapludalf and the texture is silty loam. The soil properties at the initiation of the experiment in 1972 and before of sowing of maize have been depicted in Table 1.

### **Soil characteristics, treatments and crop**

Soil of the study area was sandy loam texture with red colour and was classified as Isohyperthermic family of the sub group typic kandicpaleustalfs as per the Taxonomic System of Soil Classification. The soil properties at the initiation of the experiment in 1986 have been depicted in Table 1. The experiment consists of eleven treatments which are replicated three times in a Randomized Block Design and the plot size was 144 m<sup>2</sup> (16 m \* 9m). The treatments were 50% NPK; 100% NPK; 150% NPK; 100% NPK+ Hand Weeding (HW); 100% NPK + Lime; 100% NP; 100% N; 100% NPK + FYM; 100% NPK (-S); 100% NPK + FYM + lime; control. 100% NPK corresponding to 100 kg nitrogen (N), 33 kg phosphorus (P), and 82 kg potassium (K) ha<sup>-1</sup> for maize. Urea, single super phosphate, and muriate of potash were used to supply N, P, and K, respectively, except in 100% NPK(-S), diammonium phosphate was used to supply P.

**Table 1: Initial soil characteristics of the experimental site, GKVK, Bangalore.**

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Subgroup  | : | <i>Kandi Paleustalfs</i>                           |
| Series  | : | Vijayapura   |
| Taxonomy  | : | Fine,mixed <i>IsohyperthermicKandicpaleustalfs</i> |
| <b>Physical properties</b>                                  |   |  |
| Bulk density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )                          |   | 1.51   |
| Max.water holding capacity (%)                              |   | 30.00  |
| Field capacity moisture (%)                                 |   | 22.70  |
| Wilting point moisture (%)                                  |   | 8.40   |
| Pore space (%)  |   | 40.10  |
| Infiltrationrate(cmh <sup>-1</sup> )                        |   | 4.20   |
| Water stable aggregates (%) (2 mm)                          |   | 23.70  |
| <b>Chemical properties</b>                                  |   |  |
| pH (1:2.5, soil: water suspension)                          |   | 6.17   |
| Electrical conductivity (EC, dS m <sup>-1</sup> )           |   | 0.059  |
| CEC [cmol (p+) kg <sup>-1</sup> ]                           |   | 12.20  |
| Organic carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )                        |   | 4.6  |
| Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                   |   | 256.7  |
| Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                 |   | 34.3   |
| Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  |   | 123.1  |
| Total calcium (%)   |   | 0.46   |
| Total magnesium (%)   |   | 0.35   |
| Total sulphur (%)   |   | 0.028  |
| Exchangeable calcium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                 |   | 1456.00  |
| Exchangeable magnesium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )               |   | 415.20   |
| Available sulphur (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                    |   | 20.34  |
| <b>DTPA extractable micronutrients (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)</b> |   |  |
| Zinc  |   | 2.34   |
| Copper  |   | 2.30   |
| Manganese   |   | 108.40   |
| Iron  |   | 5.22   |

Maize (MAH 14-5) was sown on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2021 in 60 cm × 30 cm spacing. One presowing irrigation was given and thereafter, crop water requirement was met through rainfall. The FYM, containing 1.01% N, 0.26% P, and 0.40% K on a dry weight basis, was added @ 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> on a dry weight basis. Lime @ 500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied at the time of sowing in 100 per cent

NPK + lime, 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime treatment. The full doses of P and K and half dose of N were applied at the time of sowing and the remaining half N was top-dressed in two equal splits at knee high and pre-tasseling stages. Tembotrione was sprayed as early post emergence @ 143 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> and Atrazine was sprayed as pre-emergence herbicide @ 1.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, except in 100% NPK+HW in which weeds were removed manually and incorporated in the same plot. The crop was harvested manually on 14<sup>th</sup> April 2022.

## Quality analysis

Plant samples and grain samples from each treatment were collected at harvest, oven dried, powdered and used for the analysis of quality parameters. All the oven dried samples were grounded in Willey mill using 2 mm sieve for crude protein and ash content.

### 1. Different physical properties of maize grain quality were as follows

The physical characteristics like size geometric mean diameter, sphericity index, bulk density, true density, 1000 grams mass and color of the maize grains were determined.

#### 1.1 Geometric mean diameter

Size is the geometric mean diameter was calculated by using the expression (Mohesenin, 1986)

$$\text{Geometric mean diameter, } D_m = [LBT]^{1/3}$$

Where,

L = longest intercept (Length)

B = longest intercept normal to L (Width) and

T = longest intercept normal to L and B (Thickness)

#### 1.2 Sphericity

The sphericity is used to describe the shape of the grain. It is a measure of how closely the shape of an object resembles that of a perfect sphere. The sphericity was calculated using the relationship (Mohesenin, 1986);

$$\text{Sphericity, } \Phi = \frac{D_m}{L}$$

D<sub>m</sub> = Geometric mean diameter and

L = Longest intercept (Length)

#### 1.3 Bulk density

Bulk density was determined by using a container of known volume. The sample was taken into the container and weighed. The bulk density was determined using the formula given below (Surpamet *al.*, 2019). Average of three replications was taken as the bulk density.

$$\text{Bulk density, } \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3} = \frac{\text{Weight of grains (kg)}}{\text{Volume of grains including pore space (m}^3\text{)}}$$

#### 1.4 True density

True density was determined by toluene displacement method. 50 mL of toluene was taken in a measuring jar. A known weight of grain sample was poured to the measuring jar and rise in the toluene level was recorded. The true density of the grain was calculated by using the following formula (Surpamet *al.*,2019).

$$\text{True density, kg/m}^3 = \frac{\text{Weight of grains (kg)}}{\text{Volume of grains excluding voids (m}^3\text{)}}$$

#### 1.5 1000 grain weight

The grain samples from the produce of each plot were taken. From this sample, thousand grains were randomly counted, weighed and weight was recorded as 1000 grain weight in grams.

#### 1.6 Colour

Tristimulus color measurement of the sample was made using spectrophotometer (Make: Konica Minolta Instruments, Osaka, Japan; Model-CM5). The color of the sample was measured in CIELAB (L\*a\* b\*) coordinate system where L\* indicate lightness of the sample; a\* value indicate greenness (-) or redness (+) of the sample; and b\* value indicate blueness (-) or yellowness (+) of the sample. Before testing the sample, the instrument was calibrated with standard black and white tiles supplied with the instrument. Three readings were taken for a sample and the mean value was recorded.

### 2. Different chemical properties of maize grain quality were as follows

The grain samples were collected after the harvest of maize crop and then dried to constant weight at  $50 \pm 1$  °C in hot air oven. Maize grain samples were digested in a di-acid mixture (HNO<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub> in 9:4 ratio) and the aqueous extract was used to determine contents of P with the vanado-molybdophosphoric acid method (Jackson 1973), calcium (Ca) with a flame photometer (Jackson 1973) and magnesium (Mg), Zn, and Fe content with Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Jackson 1973).

### 3. Proximate composition of grains

The standard procedure for proximate analysis by AOAC (2005) was followed to estimate crude protein, ash, crude fat, crude fiber, and total carbohydrate content.

#### 3.1 Crude protein content (%)

The crude protein (CP) content of dried samples as mentioned in 3.8.1 was calculated by multiplying nitrogen per cent with 6.25 (A.O.A.C., 1965).

$$\text{Crude Protein (\%)} = \text{Nitrogen (\%)} \times 6.25$$

#### 3.2 Ash content

Five grams oven dried sample grounded in Willey mill using 2 mm sieve was de-smoked on a heater and ignited at 550°C in muffle furnace for 2 hours and cooled in desiccator (ISI,

1975). The weight of residual ash in previously weighed crucible was taken as total mineral. The mineral content was calculated and expressed in per cent as follows;

$$\text{Total Ash (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of ash}}{\text{Weight of sample taken for ashing}} \times 100$$

### 3.3 Moisture content (%)

A known weight of powdered sample was taken in moisture cups and subjected to 90°C (±5°C) temperature in a well-ventilated hot air oven for 5 to 6 hours and cooled in desiccator. The weight of sample in moisture cups was taken and calculated the moisture percentage by determining the loss in weight of the sample during drying by using the following formula;

$$\text{Moisture (\%)} = \frac{\text{Original weight of sample (g)} - \text{Final weight of sample (g)}}{\text{Original weight of sample (g)}} \times 100$$

### 3.4 Ether extractable fat (%)

The ether extractable fat was estimated by petroleum ether extraction method. The crude fat was calculated by using the formula given by Mahadevan (1965) and expressed in percentage.

$$\text{Ether extractable fat (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of ether extract}}{\text{Weight of the dried plant sample taken}} \times 100$$

### 3.5 Crude fibre content (%)

Crude fibre (CF) content in grain was estimated by acid-alkali digestion method. The crude fibre was calculated by using the formula given by Mahadevan (1965) and expressed in percentage.

$$\text{CF (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Weight of sample before ashing}) - (\text{Weight of sample after ashing})}{\text{Weight of the dried plant sample taken}} \times 100$$

### 3.6 Carbohydrate content (%)

Carbohydrate (CHO) content was calculated by using the following formula and expressed in percentage (Igbabulet *et al.*, 2014).

$$\text{CHO (\%)} = 100 - [\text{CP(\%)} + \text{Crude fat (\%)} + \text{CF (\%)} + \text{Ash (\%)} + \text{moisture (\%)}]$$

### Statistical analysis

The data generated from the field and laboratory studies will be subjected to statistical analysis using the technique of analysis of variance for randomized block design for the interpretation of results as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The level of significance

used in “F” and “t” test was  $P = 0.05$ . Critical difference (CD) values were calculated for the  $P = 0.05$  whenever “F” test was found significant.

## Results and discussion

### 1. Effect of longterm fertilization and manuring on physical properties of maize grain

#### 1.1 Geometric mean diameter

The data on geometric mean diameter of maize grain showed significant differences among the treatments due to the long-term application of the fertilizers and are presented in Table 2.

Among the different treatments, a higher geometric diameter mean (7.63mm) was recorded in the treatment receiving 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime followed by 150 per cent (7.83 mm), 100 per cent NPK + FYM (6.95 mm). While lowest geometric mean diameter was recorded in the treatment 100 per cent NP (5.32 mm) followed by 100% N (5.71 mm). Increasing the rate of N application through FYM resulted in increase in geometric mean diameter and it reached the level of significance in FYM treatment. These results are in agreement with the findings of (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 2015), (Barnwalet *et al.*, 2011) and (Yenge *et al.*, 2018).

#### 1.2 Bulk density

The data on bulk density of maize showed significant differences among the treatments due to the long-term application of the fertilizers and manures and are presented in Table 2.

Among the different treatments, a higher bulk density ( $791 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) was recorded in the treatments receiving 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime followed by 150 per cent NPK ( $780 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ), 100 per cent NPK + FYM ( $774 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ). While lowest bulk density was recorded in the treatment 100 per cent NP ( $627 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ) followed by 100 per cent N ( $645 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ). This lower content of bulk density was due to low compactness and increased moisture content in the grain *vice versa*. These results are in agreement with the findings of (Barnwalet *et al.*, 2011) and (Yenge *et al.*, 2018).

**Table 2: Effect of long term fertilization and manuring on geometric mean diameter, bulk density 1000 grams mass, Sphericity, True density, Colour of maize grain**

| Treatments   | Geometric mean diameter (mm) | Bulk density ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ) | 1000 grain mass(g) | Sphericity (%) | True density ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ) | Colour |      |       |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|-------|
|              |                              |                                     |                    |                |                                     | L*     | a*   | b*    |
| T1: 50% NPK  | 6.01                         | 678                                 | 309.20             | 62.80          | 1143.85                             | 63.54  | 3.14 | 21.11 |
| T2: 100% NPK | 6.58                         | 743                                 | 350.60             | 64.83          | 1199.52                             | 67.38  | 3.97 | 22.45 |
| T3: 150% NPK | 7.27                         | 780                                 | 367.30             | 67.31          | 1234.52                             | 70.52  | 4.34 | 24.97 |

|                         |             |              |              |             |              |             |             |             |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| T4: 100% NPK + HW       | 6.56        | 749          | 338.40       | 64.44       | 1133.86      | 67.02       | 3.41        | 22.59       |
| T5: 100% NPK +Lime      | 6.80        | 756          | 349.40       | 66.47       | 1204.32      | 69.17       | 4.17        | 23.78       |
| T6: 100% NP             | 5.32        | 627          | 152.90       | 65.08       | 1106.23      | 62.24       | 2.94        | 20.73       |
| T7: 100% N              | 5.71        | 645          | 241.50       | 66.72       | 1124.56      | 60.41       | 2.75        | 19.89       |
| T8: 100% NPK +FYM       | 6.95        | 774          | 392.80       | 66.44       | 1222.62      | 70.78       | 4.38        | 25.47       |
| T9:100% NPK(S-free)     | 6.24        | 683          | 335.10       | 63.22       | 1154.79      | 64.72       | 3.37        | 21.63       |
| T10:100% NPK +FYM +Lime | 7.63        | 791          | 444.10       | 68.80       | 1258.62      | 72.91       | 4.68        | 27.48       |
| T11: Control            | 5.48        | 697          | 313.90       | 65.52       | 1136.00      | 63.05       | 3.57        | 21.49       |
| <b>SEm±</b>             | <b>0.23</b> | <b>3.62</b>  | <b>4.66</b>  | <b>2.38</b> | <b>42.65</b> | <b>2.41</b> | <b>0.13</b> | <b>0.81</b> |
| <b>CDat 5%</b>          | <b>0.68</b> | <b>10.63</b> | <b>13.68</b> | <b>NS</b>   | <b>NS</b>    | <b>7.06</b> | <b>0.39</b> | <b>2.38</b> |

### 1.3 1000 grain mass

The data on 1000 grain weight (gm) of maize showed significant differences among the treatments due to the long-term application of the fertilizers and are presented in Table 2.

Among the different treatments, significantly higher 1000 grain weight (444.10 g) was recorded in the treatments receiving 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime which followed by treatments receiving 100 per cent NPK + FYM (392.80), 100 per cent NPK + lime (349.40 g), 150 per cent NPK (367.40 g), 100 per cent NPK + Hand weeding (338.40 g) and 100 per cent NPK (350.60 g). While lowest 1000 grain weight was recorded in the treatment 100 per cent NP (152.90 g). Therefore, application of organic manures improved the quality of wheat grain. Shah *et al.* (2010) reported that thousand grain weight was significantly increased on applying organic manures along with mineral fertilizers. The large accumulation of proteins and other reserved food in the seed due to which 1000 grain weight was increased may be due to the easy availability of nitrogen and other soil nutrients from fertilizers where 50% N was applied from organic sources and 50% from mineral source

### 1.4 Sphericity

The data on sphericity of maize showed non-significant differences among the treatments due to the long-term application of the fertilizers and manures and is presented in Table 2.

Among the different treatments, a higher sphericity (65.08%) was recorded in the treatments receiving 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime followed by 150 per cent NPK (67.31 %), 100 per cent NPK + FYM (66.44%). While lower sphericity was recorded in the treatment 100 per cent NP (65.08%) followed by 100 per cent N (66.72%). Increasing the rate of N application through FYM resulted in increase in Sphericity. These results are in agreement with the findings of (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 2015), (Barnwalet *et al.*, 2011) and (Yenge *et al.*, 2018).

### 1.5 True density

The true density non significantly varied from 1106.23 kg m<sup>-3</sup> in 100 per cent NP treatment to 1258.62 kg m<sup>-3</sup> per cent in 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime treatment (Table 2). 100 per cent NPK + FYM and 100 per cent NPK + lime treated plots were at par. Comparison among different treatments revealed that true density under 100 per cent NPK treatments was non significantly higher than 100 per cent NP and 100 per cent N treatment. Addition of FYM along with NPK (T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>) increased the true density over 100 per cent NPK (T<sub>2</sub>).

Higher true density of grain under the treatment 100 per cent NPK + FYM + Lime in comparison to 100 per cent NPK. This corroborates the findings of (Barnwalet *et al.*, 2011) and (Yenge *et al.*, 2018).

### 1.6 Colour

The colour significantly varied from (L\*60.41, a\*2.75, b\*19.89) in 100 per cent N treatment to (L\*72.91, a\*4.68, b\*27.48) in 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime treatment (Table 3). 100 per cent NPK + FYM and 100 per cent NPK + lime treated plots were at par. Comparison among different treatments revealed that colour developed under 100 per cent NP and 100 per cent NPK treatments was significantly higher than 100 per cent N treatment. Addition of FYM along with NPK (T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>) increased the colour significantly over 100 per cent NPK (T<sub>2</sub>).

Higher colour of grain under the treatment 100 per cent NPK + FYM + Lime in comparison to 100 per cent NPK. This corroborates with the findings of Barnwalet *et al.* (2011) and Yenge *et al.* (2018). In plots receiving 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime, 100 per cent NPK + FYM, 100 per cent NPK + lime the colour of the grain increased to (L\*72.91, a\*4.68, b\*27.48), (L\*70.78, a\*4.38, b\*25.47), (L\*69.17, a\*4.17, b\*23.78) respectively. Application of graded dose of fertilizers from 50 per cent NPK to 150 per cent NPK increased the colour of grain.

## 2. Effect of longterm fertilization and manuring on nutrient content of maize grain

The continuous application of fertilizers and amendments (FYM or lime) significantly influenced the nutrient content of maize grain (Table 3). The highest P, Mg, Cu, Mn, Zn and Fe content of maize grain were recorded under 100% NPK + FYM+ lime treatment. However, 100% NPK + lime recorded the highest Ca content. The addition of FYM or lime with 100% NPK increased the P content of maize grain compared to 100% NPK, respectively. The Ca content of maize grain recorded a significant increase with lime over 100% NPK, the increase being 23.9%. Significant reduction in P, Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn content of maize grain was recorded with imbalanced fertilization (100% NPK (-S) and 100% NP) in comparison to 100% NPK.

**Table 3: Effect of long term fertilization and manuring on nutrient content of maize grain**

| Treatments   | phosphorus | Calcium | Iron | Copper | Manganese | Magnesium | Zinc |
|--------------|------------|---------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|------|
| T1: 50% NPK  | 211        | 4.8     | 4.38 | 0.177  | 1.84      | 168       | 1.24 |
| T2: 100% NPK | 233.2      | 6.1     | 4.93 | 0.185  | 2.26      | 181       | 1.50 |
| T3: 150% NPK | 256.4      | 6.66    | 5.23 | 0.223  | 2.40      | 192       | 2.04 |

|                         |              |             |             |             |             |              |             |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| T4: 100% NPK + HW       | 261.3        | 6.74        | 5.25        | 0.224       | 2.52        | 199          | 2.09        |
| T5: 100% NPK +Lime      | 6.80         | 7.56        | 5.42        | 0.226       | 2.61        | 202          | 2.12        |
| T6: 100% NP             | 197.4        | 5.6         | 4.65        | 0.193       | 2.18        | 160          | 1.49        |
| T7: 100% N              | 179.5        | 5.54        | 4.56        | 0.176       | 1.93        | 154          | 1.34        |
| T8: 100% NPK +FYM       | 274.1        | 7.04        | 5.58        | 0.227       | 2.72        | 210          | 2.2         |
| T9:100% NPK(S-free)     | 231.6        | 5.84        | 4.87        | 0.183       | 2.23        | 177          | 1.47        |
| T10:100% NPK +FYM +Lime | 290.6        | 7.46        | 5.65        | 0.229       | 2.91        | 234          | 2.34        |
| T11: Control            | 207.6        | 5.01        | 4.24        | 0.171       | 2.11        | 170          | 1.41        |
| <b>SEm±</b>             | <b>14.26</b> | <b>0.30</b> | <b>0.28</b> | <b>0.02</b> | <b>0.18</b> | <b>10.63</b> | <b>0.12</b> |
| <b>CDat 5%</b>          | <b>41.85</b> | <b>0.88</b> | <b>0.82</b> | <b>0.08</b> | <b>0.55</b> | <b>31.18</b> | <b>1.30</b> |

### 3.Effect of long term fertilization and manuring on proximate composition maize grain

#### 3.1 Crude protein

The application of fertilizers and manures had a significant impact on the crude protein content of maize grain (Table 4). The protein content varied from 7.68% in control to 10.35% in 100% NPK+FYM+lime which could be attributed to better supply of macro and micro nutrients as well as other beneficial elements through decomposition of FYM which promotes better nitrogen absorption and transformation in crops (Das *et al.*, 2012) and (Liu *et al.*, 2016). The gradual increase in NPK doses from 50 to 150 per cent resulted in an increase in crude protein content, which could be attributed to increased available nitrogen content (Wieser and Seilmeier, 1998; Abad *et al.*, 2004). (Kumar *et al.*, 2007). The positive effect of lime treatment on the crude protein content of maize grain could be due to the increased activity of nitrifying bacteria leading to higher availability of N for uptake (Castro and Crusciol 2015). Over 100% NPK, FYM and lime treated plots recorded significantly higher crude protein content to an extent of 8.5 and 3.4%, respectively, over 100% NPK. The lowest value of protein (8.27%) was noted in control and it increased to 9.31% due to application of 100% NPK. Omission of K and S resulted in significantly lower protein content when compared to optimal fertilization of NPK, the reduction being 6.6% and 5.3%, respectively because of the deficiency of S in the soil, leading to poor S uptake and thereby, reduced synthesis of S-containing amino acids (Sujith, Sudhir and Shivraj 2016) and Potassium plays a complex role in nitrogen metabolism and protein synthesis in plants, which is well explained by the low crude protein content under 100% NP treatment. Similar findings were reported in the maize crop by Radulov *et al.* (2012).

#### 3.2 Moisture content

From the nutrition point of view, active ingredients are present in the dry matter,

hence determination of moisture content is an important factor. It is an index of yield, storability and quality of food.

Moisture content of maize grain (Table 2) ranged from 8.19 per cent in 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime to 13.2 per cent in control. Application of FYM along with 100 per cent NPK (T<sub>8</sub>) and application of lime along with recommended NPK (T<sub>5</sub>) recorded 22.93 and 17.44 per cent less moisture content in maize grains respectively, as compared to 100 per cent NPK. 100 per cent NPK(-S) and 100 per cent NP recorded 17.39 and 17.6 per cent higher moisture content than 100 per cent NPK.

Lowest moisture content of maize grain in 100 per cent NPK + FYM + lime might be attributed to the positive effect of organic manure on grain quality of maize by improving soil properties which enhanced the root development, water and nutrient uptake (Habashy and Hemeid 2011). Moisture content in grain mainly depends on drying efficiency rather than quality of soil. Low moisture content in grain, inhibits the growth and multiplication of microorganisms, thus might be useful in grain storage for a relatively longer period Cisse *et al.* (2013) and Shobha *et al.* (2011). On the other hand, due to high moisture content of grain under control plots, there might be risk of rot due to proliferation of mould *etc.* or self-digestion by enzymes in grain. Presence of high moisture content in grain reduces the shelf-life.

### 3.3 Ash content

The total amount of minerals present in produce is measured by ash content. The treatments containing NPK fertilisers, FYM, and lime had a higher ash content than the control. The addition of FYM, and lime along with NPK fertilizers increased the availability and uptake of mineral nutrients leading to the higher mineral content of maize grain since there is a positive relationship between available soil nutrients and ash content of maize grain (Thakur *et al.* 2019). Karformaet *al.* (2012) and Nwiteet *al.* (2018) have also reported the positive effect of organic manure on the ash content of maize. In comparison, the control, 100%N, 100%NP, and 100% NPK (-S) showed a decrease of 18.1%, 13.1%, and 11.9%, respectively, over 100% NPK. The low ash content of maize grain under control could be attributed to continuous cropping without fertilization resulting in low soil fertility (Bhattacharyya et al. 2016).

**Table 4: Effect of long term fertilization and manuring on crude protein, moisture and ash content of maize grain**

| Treatment        | Crude protein (%) | Moisture (%)  | Ash (%)      |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| T1:50% NPK       | 8.47 (-7.91)      | 12.33 (+11.6) | 1.47 (-5.44) |
| T2: 100% NPK     | 9.14              | 11.04         | 1.55         |
| T3: 150% NPK     | 9.83 (+7.54)      | 11.06 (+0.18) | 1.5 (-3.33)  |
| T4: 100%NPK + HW | 9.24 (+1.09)      | 10.83 (-1.93) | 1.63 (+5.16) |

|                         |                |                |               |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| T5: 100%NPK +Lime       | 9.36 (+2.40)   | 9.40 (-17.44)  | 1.68 (+8.38)  |
| T6: 100%NP              | 8.05 (-13.54)  | 12.99 (+17.6)  | 1.41(-9.92)   |
| T7: 100% N              | 8.04 (-13.68)  | 13.11 (+18.75) | 1.32 (-17.42) |
| T8: 100%NPK +FYM        | 9.67 (+5.79)   | 8.98 (-22.93)  | 1.71(+10.32)  |
| T9:100%NPK(S-free)      | 7.97 (-15.01)  | 12.96 (+17.39) | 1.48 (-4.72)  |
| T10:100%NPK +FYM + Lime | 10.35 (+13.23) | 8.19 (-20.92)  | 1.73 (+11.61) |
| T11: Control            | 7.68 (-19.01)  | 13.2 (+29.56)  | 1.45 (-6.89)  |
| <b>SEm±</b>             | <b>0.32</b>    | <b>0.41</b>    | <b>0.06</b>   |
| <b>CDat 5%</b>          | <b>0.93</b>    | <b>1.20</b>    | <b>0.17</b>   |

### 3.4Crude Fat

The fat content ranged from 1.56% in control to 1.80% in 100% NPK þ FYM. Fat content of wheat grain in 100% NPK increased significantly by 12.2% over control. FYM and lime treated plots recorded significantly higher fat content than the other treatments. Application of 100% NPK, 100% NPK +HWrecorded 1.75%, 1.74% and 1.75% fat content, respectively, and these treatments were statistically at par with each other. FYM application in conjugation with the recommended dose of fertilizers resulted in better grain quality parameters, indicating the importance of balanced nutrition for quality production of maize. Lower fat content is associated with imbalanced fertiliser addition and in control, which could be a result of lower fat metabolism associated with imbalanced and low nutrition level, while, it is accelerated under a supply of balanced nutrition (Singh, Ghosh, and Ajay 2003; Patil *et al.* 2003 S deficiency in soil due to the continuous use of S-free fertilizers in 100% NPK (-S) may have resulted in lower crude fat content because S is required for fat biosynthesis (Liu *et al.*, 2016).

### 3.5Crude fibre

Crude fiber is an important constituent of the human diet. Fiber content in wheat grains varied significantly from 1.41% in control to 1.87% in 100% NPK + FYM+ lime. Maximum fiber content was noted when 100% NPK was applied with FYM which might be due to the better growth and uptake of nutrients, resulting from the balanced use of fertilizer with FYM. Nutrient availability greatly affects the crude fiber content of plants (Elsheikh and Mohameszein 1998). Thakur *et al.* (2019) have reported a positive correlation between available soil nutrients and crude fiber content of maize grain.Liming significantly improved the crudefiber content of maize grain, which might be due to the positive effect of lime application on physical, chemicaland biological properties of soil (Kumar *et al.* 2014; Singh *et al.* 2009).Control, 100% NPK (-S) and 100% NP registered a decline of 17.5%, 12.9% and 9.9% in fiber content, respectively, over 100% NPK.

**Table 5: Effect of long term fertilization and manuring on crude fat, crude fibre and carbohydrates content of maize grain.**

| <b>Treatment</b>         | <b>Crude fat (%)</b> | <b>Crude fibre (%)</b> | <b>Carbohydrates (%)</b> |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| T1:50% NPK               | 4.39 (-0.9)          | 1.71 (-2.33)           | 71.61(-0.64)             |
| T2: 100% NPK             | 4.43                 | 1.75                   | 72.07                    |
| T3: 150% NPK             | 4.48 (+1.12)         | 1.73 (-1.15)           | 71.36 (+0.99)            |
| T4: 100%NPK + HW         | 4.51 (+1.80)         | 1.77 (+1.14)           | 71.99 (-0.11)            |
| T5: 100%NPK +Lime        | 4.61 (+4.06)         | 1.80 (+2.85)           | 73.12 (+1.45)            |
| T6: 100%NP               | 4.32 (-2.54)         | 1.65 (-6.06)           | 71.56 (-0.71)            |
| T7: 100% N               | 4.41 (-0.45)         | 1.42 (-23.23)          | 71.68 (-0.54)            |
| T8: 100% NPK +FYM        | 4.63 (+4.51)         | 1.83 (+4.57)           | 73.18 (+1.54)            |
| T9:100% NPK(S-free)      | 4.24 (-4.48)         | 1.63 (-7.36)           | 71.70 (-0.51)            |
| T10:100% NPK +FYM + Lime | 4.65 (+4.96)         | 1.85 (+5.71)           | 73.22 (+1.59)            |
| T11: Control             | 4.2 (-5.47)          | 1.61(-8.69)            | 71.70 (-0.51)            |
| <b>SEm±</b>              | <b>0.15</b>          | <b>0.06</b>            | <b>0.77</b>              |
| <b>CDat 5%</b>           | <b>NS</b>            | <b>0.19</b>            | <b>NS</b>                |

### 3.6 Total carbohydrates

A perusal of data (Table 5) revealed that carbohydrate content of wheat grains varied from 75.53% in 100% NPK (-S) to 76.27% in 100% NPK +FYM. The adequate and balanced supply of nutrients required for various metabolic activities within the plants (Castro and Crusciol 2015; Chauhan *et al.* 2020; Fadlalla, Abukhlaif, and Mohamed 2016) might have resulted in high carbohydrate content under 100% NPK + FYM. Application of graded doses of fertilizer from 50% to 150% NPK, 100% NPK þ HW and 100% NPK þ Zn resulted in the carbohydrate content of 75.80%, 76.02%, 75.61%, 75.94% and 76.10%, respectively, and these values were statistically at par with each other. Increasing levels of fertility from 50% to 100% increased the carbohydrate content (Misra, Singh, and Rajput 2001). The omission of K in 100% NP led to K starvation in plants (Hernández-Pérez *et al.* 2019), impairing the synthesis of carbohydrates and their translocation from leaves to the grains (Cakmak 2005). Kamalakumari and Singaram (1996) and Sujith, Sudhir, and Shivraj (2016) have also reported a significant

reduction in the total carbohydrate content of maize grain due to the omission of potassium fertilizers. Inadequate plant nutrition led to low carbohydrate content in control.

## Conclusion

The result of the present study indicates that application of FYM in combination with balanced chemical fertilizers and lime recorded higher maize grain quality parameters in terms of crude protein content, ash content, crude fat content, crude fiber content, total carbohydrate content and nutrient content. Application of 100% NPK along with FYM and lime significantly improved the physical parameters of maize grain than rest of the treatments. Absolute control and application of imbalanced use of fertilizers (100% N, 100% NP, 100% NPK (-S)) had the most deleterious effect on grain quality. Thus, it can be concluded from the study that conjunctive use of organic manures along with chemical fertilizers in balanced form is very much essential to maintain good quality of maize grain production.

## References

- A.O.A.C., 1965, Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, 10th Edn., Washington DC, 744-745.
- ABAD, A., LIOVERAS, J. AND MICHELENA, A., 2004, Nitrogen fertilization and foliar urea effects on durum wheat yield and quality and on residual soil nitrate in irrigated Mediterranean conditions. *Field Crops Res.*, **87**: 257-269.
- BANDYOPADHYAY, K. K., MISRA, A. K., GHOSH, P. KANDHATI, K. M., 2010, Effect of integrated use of farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on soil physical properties and productivity of soybean. *Soil. Tillage Res.*, **110**: 115-125.
- BARNWAL, P., KADAM, D. M. AND SINGH, K. K., 2011, Influence of moisture content on physical properties of maize. *International Agrophysics*, **26**(3).
- BHATTACHARYYA, R., PRAKASH, V., KUNDU, S., SRIVASTVA, A. K. AND GUPTA, H. S., 2004, Effect of long-term manuring on soil organic carbon, bulk density and water retention characteristics under soybean-wheat cropping sequence in North-Western Himalayas. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **52**: 238-242.
- CASTRO, G. S. A. AND CRUSCIOL, C. A. C., 2015, Effects of surface application of dolomitic limestone and calcium-magnesium silicate on soybean and maize in rotation with green manure in a tropical region. *Bragantia*, **74** (3): 311-321.

- CHAUHAN, N., SANKHYAN, N. K., SHARMA, R. P., SINGH, J. AND GOURAV., 2020, Effect of long-term application of inorganic fertilizers, farmyard manure and lime on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) productivity, quality and nutrient content in an acid alfisol. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **43** (17): 2569-2578.
- DAS, T., RAM, S. AND SIRARI, P., 2012, Effect of long-term application of inorganic fertilizers and manures on yields, nutrient uptake and grain quality of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system on a Mollisol. *Pantnagar J. Res.*, **10**(2): 174-180.
- DHALIWAL, J. K., SAROA, G. S. AND DHALIWAL, S. S., 2015, Effect of nutrient management practices on wheat grain quality under basmati rice-wheat system. *Agric. Res. J.*, **52**: 43-47.
- ELSHEIKH, E. A. E. AND MOHAMED, M. E., 1998, Effect of Bradyrhizobium, VA Mycorrhiza and fertilizers on seed composition of groundnut. *Annals Appl. Biol.*, **132**: 325-330.
- FADLALLA, H. A., ABUKHLAIF, H. A. A. AND MOHAMED, S. S., 2016, Effects of chemical and bio-fertilizers on yield, yield components and grain quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *African. J. Agric. Res.*, **11** (45): 4654-4660.
- GOMEZ, K. A. AND GOMEZ, A. A., 1984, Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- GOSAL, S. K., GILLA, G. K., SHARMA, S. AND WALIA, S. S., 2018, Soil nutrient status and yield of rice as affected by long-term integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **41** (4): 539-544.
- HOSSAIN, N., KIBRIA, M. G. AND OSMAN K. T., 2012, Mineral nutrition and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by household waste compost, poultry manure and inorganic fertilizers. *J. Pharm. Biol. Sci.*, **3** (2): 44-52.
- IGBABUL, B., SHEMBER, J. AND AMOVE, J., 2014, Physicochemical, microbiological and sensory evaluation of yoghurt sold in Marurdi metropolis. *African J. Food Sci. Technol.*, **5**: 129-135.

- ISI, 1975, Method of Tests for Animal Feeds and Feeding Stuffs: 7874 Part 1. Minerals and Trace Element. Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhawan, Bahadur Shah Jafar Marg, New Delhi.
- JACKSON, M. L., 1973, Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited. New Delhi, 498.
- KARFORMA. J., GHOSH, M., GHOSH, D. C. AND MANDAL, S., 2012, Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, productivity, quality and economics of fodder maize rainfed upland of Terai region of West Bengal. *Int. J. Agric. Environ. Biotechnol.*, **5** (4): 419-427.
- KUMAR, R. AND BOHRA, J. S., 2014, Effect of NPKS and Zn application on growth, yield, economics and quality of baby corn. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, **60** (9): 1193-1206.
- LIU, J., LIANG, B., LIU, S., ZHANG, G. AND YUAN, M., 2016., Effects of long-term fertilization on grain quality of summer maize. *Adv. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, **11** (1): 33-39.
- MAHADEVAN, S. A., 1965, Laboratory Manual for Nutrition Research, Vikash Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 56-58.
- MISHRA, B., SHARMA, A., SINGH, S. K., PRASAD, J. AND SINGH, B. P., 2008, Influence of continuous application of amendments to maize wheat cropping system on dynamics of soil microbial biomass in Alfisol of Jharkhand. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **56**: 71-85.
- MOHSENIN, N. N., 1986., Physical properties of Plant and Animal Materials, 2nd edition. Gordon and Breach science Publishers, New York.
- NWITE, J. C., NWAFOR, S. O., NWANGWU, A. O. AND OLEJEMEO. C., 2018, Enhancing soil fertility, maize grain yield and nutrients composition through different planting time and manure sources in farmers' fields of Southeastern Nigeria. *Asian Res. J. Agric.*, **10** (2): 1-12.
- PATIL, N. B., INGOLE, G. L., RAUT, P. D AND DANGORE, S. T., 2003, Impact of manuring and fertilization on yield, quality and nutrient uptake of soybean. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, **16**: 166-169.

- RADULOV, I., BERBECEA, A., CRISTA, F., LATO, A. AND SALA, F., 2012, Mineral fertilization effect on soil potassium and corn quality and yield. *Res. J. Agric. Sci.*, **44** (3): 108-114.
- SINGH, A.K., SARKAR, A.K., KUMAR, A. AND SINGH, B.P., 2009. Effect of long-term use of mineral fertilizers, lime and farmyard manure on the crop yield, available plant nutrient and heavy metal status in an acidic loam soil. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **57**(3): 362-365.
- SINGH, R., AGARWAL, S. K. AND JAT, M. L., 2002, Quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and nutrient status in soils influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **72**: 456-460.
- SUJITH, G. M., SUDHIR, K. AND SHIVRAJ, B., 2016, Grain yield and quality parameters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by manuring and fertilization in finger millet- maize crop sequence of Alfisols of Karnataka. *Int. J. Adv. Biol. Res.*, **6**(2): 306-308.
- SURPAM, T. B., PARDESHI, I. L. AND ROKADE, H.N., 2019, Engineering properties of sorghum. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, **7** (5): 108-110.
- THAKUR, A., SHARMA, R.P., SANKHYAN, N.K. AND KUMAR, R., 2021. Maize grain quality as influenced by 46 years' continuous application of fertilizers, farmyard manure (FYM), and lime in an Alfisol of North-western Himalayas. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, **52** (2): 149-160.
- WIESER, H. AND SEILMEIER, W., 1998., The influence of nitrogen fertilization on quantities and proportions of different protein types in wheat flour. *J. Sci. Food Agricul.*, **76**: 127-131.
- YENGE, G.B., KAD, V.P. AND NALAWADE, S.M., 2018, Physical properties of maize (*Zea mays* L.) grain. *J. Krishi Vigyan*, **7**: 125-128.

UNDER PEER REVIEW