

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_116409
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Parkland Tree Species and Their Management Practice in Ana Sora District of Guji Zone, Southern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscript is written with scientific aptitude and with detailed analysis at various levels. The author has tried to cover all the aspects and with reason has described the findings.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes the abstract is giving all the information needed to understand the article. However, the author can improve it in terms of language.</p> <p>Though subsections are written properly, the structure of the manuscript is not appropriate. For example, in text the table 3 is mentioned before table 2. Such mistakes should be corrected. References are not numbered so how one can refer or understand the cited reference.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is very well written and explains the findings in logical manner which can be understood by scientific community.</p> <p>Recent references are missing except one or two. Also the format of reference style is not similar for all the references. It should be improved.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is poor and needs improvement. The manuscript is not publishable in its current format.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Overall the manuscript is publishable but author needs to make changes in the language.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usha Pandya
Department, University & Country	Government College, India