

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_117024
Title of the Manuscript:	TO STUDY THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS COLLECTED FROM DIFFERENT VILLAGE, MANDAWAR BLOCKS, DAUSA, RAJASTHAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Importance: The authors aimed to study the physicochemical properties of mandawar blocks' soil, Dausa, Rajasthan in the division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, NAI, SHUATS. Title: Long and preferred to be modified into "Physicochemical properties of Mandawar Blocks' Soil, dausa, rajasthan". Abstract: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide an opening statement of one or two short sentences before illustrating the aim. The aim of the study is not clearly mentioned. Be concise and specific in listing your results. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding. Structure of the manuscript: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Keywords: Many, modify, reduce, and re-arrange in alphabetical order as suggested. Introduction: Please note the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improperly displayed in six paragraphs. The introduction has to be rearranged into three paragraphs i.e., 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Many modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. The aim: Not listed. The aim has to be listed by the end of the introduction section. Materials and methods: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MUST provide the ethical approval statement and number of the study. MUST provide a reference and the source of the geographical map provided. Provide a separate section or the statistical analysis with further details on the statistical analysis, a reference for the used software, and the statistical model. Many modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. Results: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce the illustration of numerical values in the results section as they have been listed in the tables. MUST provide the P-value for the significant results. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Discussion: Clear to a certain extent with moderate comparison and speculation. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Conclusion: Clear to a certain extent. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Tables: Modify the tables' titles into more specific ones as suggested. Figures: Modify the figures' ligand into more specific ones as suggested. Scientific Soundness: The current study highlighted that the soils of the Mandawar block of Dausa, Rajasthan are in good physical condition which favors the cultivation of most of the crops, especially maize and wheat and that that the fertility database would be very useful for extension functionaries, agricultural officers, scientists, and above all farmers for sustainable crop production. References: MUST BE UPDATED as 26.3% (5 out of 19) of the listed references were published in the past five years. The percentage has to increase to at least 35-40%. Old references negatively impact the study and indicate that the study is no longer a point of interest. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>General view: The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar. Professional copyediting and proofreading, adjusting the display of the abstract and the introduction, declaring some explanations in the materials and methods, and the necessity to update the references have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve publishing value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt