

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_116195</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF REELING EFFLUENT IRRIGATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF V1 MULBERRY VARIETY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The paper is a very sincere attempt to synthesize information the growth and development of mulberry irrigated with various proportions of reeling effluent as well as borewell water on F1 varieties of Mulberry. Such information may help in identification of gaps and framing out further research needs.</p> <p>2. Its appropriate.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Its OK</p> <p>6. Most references in the section are OK.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript (MS) has a few errors of the English language. These have been marked and corrected in the main file being sent with this named as <b>Ms_IJECC_116195.R1.doc</b> Its good for the scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Few changes have been marked within the text body of the MS in track changed mode as well as some other comments for improvements. The authors may be suggested to incorporate these for improvement in the manuscript (MS).</p> <p>Authors may be advised to resubmit to editors after incorporating suggested revisions in the MS.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chandra Kant Saxena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, India</b>