

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_116301
Title of the Manuscript:	Sero-Prevalence of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Among Students Undergoing Medical Examination in a Tertiary Institution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. This manuscript especially pertinent in cumulating different professionals in early detection and emphasizing the need of interaction among different professionals.</p> <p>The article is suitable for this manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract of this article is comprehensive. The author has well presented the abstract with adequate subheading.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/ English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author has written the manuscript well. Apart from minor modification with grammatical markers like article, punctuations, no significant changes are required. Also the presentation of the article in different subsections are good.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nima Zangmo
Department, University & Country	Jigme Dorji Wangchuk National Referral Hospital, Bhutan