

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_112006
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Status of adolescents in the Kamrup-Metropolitan district of Assam, India: A Comparative Study between Rural and Urban
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Manuscript seems reasonable scientifically as it results suggest that few interventions must be taken to improve the health of the community being studied.</p> <p>2. Title is not clear, it does not match with the objective of the study. I have suggested a suitable title after thorough inspection of the article.</p> <p>3. Regarding abstract, it seems unorganized, objective does not match with title, no reference study mentioned and author is not clear what the implication of this study's results are. Author should write a line how this study results are going to be beneficial for the community and health sector.</p> <p>4. Results and discussions should be written in separate sections.</p> <p>5. It is correct but it needs thorough correction.</p> <p>6. Many of the references are recent but they are not written according to journal's instructions. This section needs thorough correction.</p> <p><b>Additional comment:</b> This article shows that author must be clear what this study is about, what is the objective and what are the beneficial implications of the results. Author should avoid observed statements without any statistical reference.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, language has only minor mistakes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	This article's results may suggest changes to local cultural habits and may lead to new health intervention. Therefore author must be clear and confident about the objective and results of the study.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asia Noureen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nishtar Medical University, Pakistan</b>