

## Effect of planting density and nitrogen levels on the yield attributing characters of fodder maize (Shalimar Fodder Maize-1)

### Abstract

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is widely known as a ready-made fodder crop. Maize is the third major cereal crop in the world, and in India, it ranks third. Maize has been an important cereal crop owing to its highest production potential and wider adaptability to varied agroclimatic conditions, hence being called the 'Queen of Cereals'. The production potential of forage maize can be altered with changes in agronomic practices. The present study was undertaken with the objective of improving the yield attributes and quality traits of forage maize (Shalimar Fodder Maize-1). The experiment consisted of two factors, viz., three planting densities (viz., D<sub>1</sub>= 30cm x 10cm, D<sub>2</sub>= 40cm x 10cm, and D<sub>3</sub>= 50cm x 10cm) as main plots and five nitrogen levels (viz., N<sub>1</sub>= Control, N<sub>2</sub>= 75% RDN (recommended dose of nitrogen), N<sub>3</sub>= 100% RDN, N<sub>4</sub>= 125% RDN, and N<sub>5</sub>= 150% RDN) as subplot treatments with three replications, laid out in split plot design (SPD). The parameters such as yield attributes, and quality parameters were significantly higher when plants were grown at a density of 30 cm x 10 cm with a nitrogen level of 150 % RDN.

**Keywords** Maize, Planting densities, Nitrogen levels, Growth parameters.

### Introduction

Maize, scientifically known as *Zea mays* L., holds a prominent status as a readily available fodder crop. Ranking third globally among major cereal crops and following wheat and rice in India, maize stands out for its remarkable production capacity and adaptability to diverse agroclimatic environments, earning it the title of the 'Queen of Cereals' (Choudhari and Channappagouda, 2015). Globally maize is grown on an area of about 193.7 million hectares with a production and productivity of about 1147 million MT and 5.75 t ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively (FAOSTAT, 2020). In the Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir maize is the second most important cereal crop after rice and is grown in an area of 0.31 million hectares with a production of 0.51 million tonnes with average productivity of 1.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (DES, 2021-22).

The production potential of maize as a convenient crop for forage is significant due to several factors. Maize yields high quantities of green fodder per unit area, ranging from 12 to 25 total dry matter t ha<sup>-1</sup> (Mandic *et al.*, 2013). This is attributed to its high energy content in dry matter and quality biomass suitable for silage, making it a preferred choice over other cereal forages. Maize stands out for its rich non-structural carbohydrate content, particularly favored in silage production, rendering it highly nutritive and sustainable for livestock fodder (Iqbal *et al.*, 2006). Agricultural practices, particularly planting density and nutrient management, play crucial roles in altering maize forage yield attributing and quality traits. The response of maize to planting densities varies with environmental conditions and cultural practices. Higher planting densities are generally favorable for forage compared to grain crops (Jat *et al.*, 2017). Nutrient management, especially fertilizer application, significantly influences maize growth and development. Adequate nutrient supply at each growth stage is essential for optimal growth. Nitrogen, being the most crucial element required in larger amounts, enhances overall growth and development, contributing to improved fodder quality and protein content in grains (Subedi and Ma, 2009). Taking into account these considerations, the present study aims to enhance growth attributing traits in maize forage.

## Materials and methods

The study was carried out at Faculty of Agriculture, SKUAST-K, Wadura, Sopore during the *kharif* season. The experiment consisted of two factors, viz., three planting densities (viz., D<sub>1</sub>= 30cm x 10cm, D<sub>2</sub>= 40cm x 10cm, and D<sub>3</sub>= 50cm x 10cm) as main plots and five nitrogen levels (viz., N<sub>1</sub>= Control, N<sub>2</sub>= 75% RDN (recommended dose of nitrogen), N<sub>3</sub>= 100% RDN, N<sub>4</sub>= 125% RDN, and N<sub>5</sub>= 150% RDN) as subplot treatments with three replications, laid out in split plot design (SPD). The genotype used during this study was Shalimar fodder maize-1 (SFM1).

The observations, plant population was recorded at harvesting time by calculating number of plants in a particular row (leaving border and penultimate rows) and the population was recorded in plants m<sup>2</sup>. The fodder yield of each net plot (leaving border and penultimate rows) was harvested and weight from each plot was recorded separately as kg plot<sup>-1</sup> and then converted into q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Among the quality studies, the nitrogen (N) content was estimated by the modified micro Kjeldahl procedure and expressed in percentage. Protein content was calculated from the N content by multiplying with a factor 6.25. The concentrations of neutral detergent fibre (NDF %) and acid detergent fibre (ADF %) were determined using proximate analysis (Van Soest fibre analysis, Goering and Van, 1970). The *in vitro* dry matter digestibility (IVDMD%) was determined by the method described by (Tilley and Terry, 1963; Harris, 1970). The total ash was calculated by the following formula and expressed in percentage.

$$\text{Total ash (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of ash}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100$$

## Results and discussion

### Plant population (plants m<sup>-2</sup>)

Plant population (plants m<sup>-2</sup>) was recorded at the harvesting of the crop and the results determined that planting density had significant effect on plant population recorded at harvesting. Planting density 30 cm x 10 cm recorded the highest plant population (32.88) m<sup>2</sup> than other planting densities. The plant population recorded among different nitrogen levels were non-significant where 150% RDN recorded higher plant populations (26.09) m<sup>2</sup> as compared to other levels (Table 1).

Seeds sown with increasing planting densities resulted the increase in plant population. Highest plant population was recorded at 30 cm x 10 cm compared to rest of treatments. These results are in accordance with the findings of Ayub *et al.* (2002) and Ayub *et al.* (2007). The 150 % RDN recorded the highest number of plants per unit area. This can be explained by an increase in overall growth and health of plants by nitrogen which in turn contributes to better plant stand. Moreover, nitrates are capable of breaking seed dormancy as observed by Sexsmith *et al.* (1963) in wild oats. Duermeyer *et al.* (2018) also concluded that nitrate promotes seed germination in many plant species and functions as a nutrient for better plant stand.

### Green fodder yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The green fodder yield was significantly influenced by planting densities and nitrogen levels. The planting density of 30 cm x 10 cm recorded maximum green fodder yield (519.32 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest green fodder yield (384.57 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained on 50 cm x 10 cm. With regards to nitrogen levels, 150% RDN recorded maximum green fodder yield (538.89 q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

whereas lowest green fodder yield ( $323.17 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded with control ( $N_1$ ) (Table 1).

The planting density of  $30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  recorded the highest green fodder yield than other two planting densities. Subrahmanya *et al.* (2017) found that the seed rate of  $60 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  increased the green fodder yield which was 19% higher than  $45 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  seed rate. Meena *et al.* (2022) also observed that narrow row spacing of  $20 \text{ cm}$  produced higher green fodder yield as compared to  $30 \text{ cm}$  and  $40 \text{ cm}$  spacing. Among nitrogen levels, the highest green fodder yield with application of 150% RDN. These results corroborate the findings of Panwar *et al.* (2020) who observed higher green fodder yield under the application of  $130 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  which was significantly higher over  $110 \text{ kg N}$  and  $90 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ .

### **Crude protein content (%)**

The planting densities impact a statistically non-significant effect on crude protein content, while protein content differed significantly among nitrogen levels. Higher protein content reported in planting density of  $30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  while as lowest protein content obtained in  $50 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  planting density. 150 % RDN significantly recorded the highest protein content (9.75 %) than 125 % RDN and 100 % RDN respectively. Control recorded the lowest protein content (Table 2).

The planting density  $30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  registered the highest crude protein content over other planting densities. Mehdi *et al.* (2012) also studied the effect of plant density on crude protein of maize and observed that the total crude protein content was not significantly influenced by the seed rate. Among nitrogen applications, 150 % RDN being superior among nitrogen levels obtained the highest crude protein content. Ullah *et al.* (2015), Mehdi *et al.* (2012) and Eltelib *et al.* (2006) also observed that an increase in nitrogen levels increases the crude protein content. This might be due to the fact that plant has a large concentration of nitrogen at higher N levels which in turn accelerates the production of nucleotides and coenzymes for protein synthesis (Kakol *et al.* 2003).

### **Neutral detergent fibre (NDF %) and acid detergent fibre (ADF %)**

The neutral detergent fibre and acid detergent fibre differed significantly with respect to planting densities and nitrogen levels. Planting density of  $30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  registered significantly higher neutral detergent fibre (68.25 %) and acid detergent fibre (45.65 %) respectively. While the lowest neutral detergent fibre (64.65%) and acid detergent fibre (41.33 %) were recorded in  $50 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  sowing. Among nitrogen levels, both neutral detergent fibre and acid detergent fibre were seen to be decreasing with an increase in nitrogen levels where control ( $N_1$ ) reported the highest neutral detergent fibre (68.23 %) and highest acid detergent fibre (45.24 %) respectively than other nitrogen levels. While as lowest neutral detergent fibre (65.31%) and acid detergent fibre (42.25 %) were recorded in 150 % RDN (Table 2).

The contents of neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) are mainly composed of cellulose, lignin, hemicellulose, ash and N compounds. This decrease in NDF and ADF values might be due to the production of plants with soft stems and leaves due to a higher plant population per unit area. (Kumar *et al.*, 2017) also reported a decrease in NDF and ADF content with an increase in seed rate. Among nitrogen levels 150 % RDN recorded the lowest NDF and ADF than other nitrogen levels. The results are in conformity with Muller *et al.* (2005) who observed reduced NDF and ADF contents with increased planting density. Almodares *et al.* (2009) also reported a reduction in fibre contents with an increase in nitrogen levels.

### **Invitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD %)**

Planting density of 30cm x 10cm registered significant higher invitro dry matter digestibility (58.21%) while as lowest invitro dry matter digestibility (56.29 %) was recorded in 50cm x 10cm planting density. Among nitrogen levels, 150% RDN reported highest invitro dry matter digestibility (58.89%) and was at par with 125% RDN (Table 2).

This improvement in IVDMD might be due to the decrease in lignin content due to the denser canopy. Cherney *et al.* (1991) and Yosef *et al.* (2009) reported that an increase of 1 % in forage lignin content is accompanied by a reduction of 4 % in its IVDMD values. Increase in nitrogen levels resulted in increased IVDMD and 150 % RDN recorded the highest value. This might be due to decrease in amount of fibre contents with increase in nitrogen levels which in turn increases digestibility. In the same way, Sindhu *et al.* (2006) also observed that IVDMD increased with increase in nitrogen levels.

### **Ash content (%)**

The ash content was non significantly influenced by planting densities and nitrogen levels. 30cm x 10cm planting density recorded the highest ash content (8.44 %). The lowest ash content (8.33 %) was noticed at 50 cm x 10 cm. 150 % RDN registered the highest ash content (8.61 %) and was statistically superior to other genotypes. It was followed by 125 % RDN and 100 % RDN respectively (Table 2).

However, results showed that 30 cm x 10 cm planting density has highest ash content compared to rest of treatments. The improvement in ash content may be contributed by more dry matter content which improved the uptake of nutrients by the plants. 150 % RDN recorded the highest ash content and is attributed to the higher dry content matter which improved mineral matter. Saruhan and Sireli (2005) also observed that ash content of foddermaize increased with an increase in nitrogen dose from 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Conclusion**

The maximum green fodder yield was also recorded at a density of 30 cm x 10 cm with a nitrogen level of 150 % RDN. The quality parameters were also superior with a nitrogen level of 150 % RDN and planting density of 30 cm x 10 cm. The results lead to the conclusion that to obtain higher yield attributes, green fodder yield and quality parameters of fodder maize under Kashmir valley conditions the fodder maize (SFM-1) should be cultivated with a planting density of 30 cm x 10 cm and nitrogen level of 150 % RDN.

### **References**

- Almodares, A., Jafarinia, M. and Hadi, M.R. 2009. The effects of nitrogen fertilizer on chemical compositions in corn and sweet sorghum. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science* **6**(4): 441-446.
- Ayub, M., Nadeem, M. A., Tanveer, A., Tahir, M. and Khan, R. M. A. 2007. Interactive effect of different nitrogen levels and seeding rates on fodder yield and quality of pearl millet. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **40**(4): 39-33.
- Ayub, M., Nadeem, M., Tanveer, A. and Hussain, A. 2002. Effect of different levels of nitrogen, seed rate and harvesting time on the growth, yield and quality of sorghum fodder. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, **1**(4): 304-307.

- Cherney, Y. H., Cherney, D. J. R., Akin, D. E., Axtell, J. D., 1991. Potential of brown-midrib, low lignin mutants for improving forage quality. *Advances in Agronomy***46**: 157–198
- Choudhari, V. V. and Channappagouda, B. B. 2015. Effect of organics on morpho-physiological traits and grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *The Bioscan***10** (1): 339-34.
- DES: Directorate of Economics and Statistics. 2021-22. Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Ministry of Agriculture and Farmer's Welfare Government of India.
- Duermeyer, L., Khodapanahi, E., Yan, D., Krapp, A., Rothstein, S. J. and Nambara, E. 2018. Regulation of seed dormancy and germination by nitrate. *Seed Science Research* **28**(3): 150-157.
- Eltelib, A. H., Hamad, A. M. and Ali, E. E. 2006. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on growth, yield and quality of fodder maize. *Journal of Agronomy* **5**(3): 515-18.
- FAOSTAT. 2020. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. Database. <http://www.fao.org>. 08-09-2021.
- Goering, H.K. and Van Soest, P.J. 1970. Forage Fiber Analysis (Apparatus Reagents, Procedures and Some Applications). Agriculture Handbook. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington DC.
- Harris, L. E. 1970. Métodos para el Análisis Químico y la Evaluación Biológica de Alimentos para Animales. Center for Tropical Agriculture, Feed Composition Project, University of Florida. 183 p.
- Iqbal, A., Ayub, M., Zaman, H. and Ahmed, R. 2006. Impact of nutrient management and legume association on agroqualitative traits of maize forage. *Pakistan Journal of Botany***38**: 1079-1084.
- Jat, S. L., Parihar, C. M., Singh, A. K., Kumar, B., Singh, B. and Saveipune, D. 2017. Plant density and fertilization in hybrid quality protein maize (*Zea mays*): Effects on the soil nutrient status and performance of succeeding wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and productivity of cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences***87**: 23-8.
- Kakol, N. B., Alagubdagi, S. C., and Hosamani, V. 2003. Effect of seed rate and nitrogen levels on forage yield and quality of oat (*Avena sativa* L.). *Indian journal animal nutrition* **20**: 149-154.
- Kumar, R., Singh, M., Meena, B. S., Kumar, S., Yadav, M. R., Parihar, C. M., Ram, H., Meena, R. K., V. and Kumar, U. 2017. Quality characteristics and nutrient yield of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by seeding density and nutrient levels in Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **87**(9): 1203-8.
- Mandic, V., Simic, A., Tomic, Z., Krnjaja, V., Bijelic, Z., Marinkov, G., Stojanovi, Lj. 2013. Effect of drought and foliar fertilization on maize production. *10<sup>th</sup> International Symposium***417**: 1332.
- Meena, A., Solanki, R. M., Parmar, P. M., & Chaudhari, S. (2022). Effect of spacing and nitrogen fertilization on growth, yield and economics of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal***11**(4): 1732-1735
- Mehdi, S. S., Hasan, B. and Singh, L. 2012. Influence of seed rate, nitrogen and zinc on fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) in temperate conditions of western Himalayas, *Indian Journal of Agronomy***57**(1): 85-88.

- Muller, L., Fitze, D., Wiepning, A. A. Kaldorf, M., 2005. Auxins in the development of an arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in maize. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **162**(11): 1210- 1219.
- Panwar, D., Chouhan, D., Singh, D., Singh, R. P., and Nepalia, V. 2020. "Performance of Fodder Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Under Varying Plant Densities and Fertility Levels". *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **11**: 255-260.
- Saruhan, V. and Sireli, H.D., 2005. An investigate on on the effect of plant densities and nitrogen doses on ear, stem and leaf yields of maize (*Zea Mays* L.). *Journal of agriculture* **9**(2): 45-53.
- Sexsmith, J. J. and Pittman, U. J. 1963. Effect of nitrogen fertilizers on germination and stand of wild oats. *Weeds* **11**(2): 99-101.
- Sindhu, V. K., Tiwana, U. S. and Puri, K. P. 2006. Effect of preceding crops on forage quality of maize and pearl millet under different nitrogen levels. *Forage Research* **32**: 61-63.
- Subedi and Ma, B. L. 2009. Assessment of major yield limiting factors Of maize production in humid temperate environment. *Field crop research***110**(1): 21-26.
- Subrahmanya, D. J, Kumar, R., Tyagi, N., Ram, H., Singh, Meena, R.K., Tamta, A. and Pandey, A.K. 2017. Yield of fodder maize (*zea mays* L.) and its chemical composition under varying plant densities and nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition***34**(4): 425-429.
- Tilley, J. M. A. and Terry, R. A. 1963. A two-stage technique for the in vitro digestion of forage crops. *Journal of the British Grassland Society***18**: 104-111.
- Ullah, M. I., Khakwani, A. A., Sadiq, M., Awan, I., Munir, M. and Ghazanfarullah. 2015. Effects of nitrogen fertilization rates on growth, quality and economic return of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* **31**(1): 45-52.
- Yosef, E., Carmi, A., Nikbachat, M., Zenou, A., Umiel, N. and Miron, J. 2009. Characteristics of tall versus short-type varieties of forage sorghum grown under two irrigation levels, for summer and subsequent fall harvests, and digestibility by sheep of their silages. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **152** (1-2): 1-11.

*Appendix*

**Table 1: Plant population at harvest (plants m<sup>-2</sup>) and green fodder yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of**

Treatments	Plant population (plants m <sup>-2</sup> )	Green fodder yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Planting Density</b>		
<b>D<sub>1</sub></b>	32.88	519.32
<b>D<sub>2</sub></b>	24.51	446.56
<b>D<sub>3</sub></b>	19.45	384.57
<b>SE(m)±</b>	1.64	7.13
<b>CD (p≤0.05)</b>	3.97	22.48
<b>Nitrogen levels</b>		
<b>N<sub>1</sub></b>	24.61	323.17
<b>N<sub>2</sub></b>	25.56	401.28
<b>N<sub>3</sub></b>	25.83	474.93
<b>N<sub>4</sub></b>	25.99	525.47
<b>N<sub>5</sub></b>	26.09	538.89
<b>SE(m)±</b>	0.002	9.32
<b>CD (p≤0.05)</b>	0.005	31.21

**Shalimar Fodder Maize-1 under varied planting density and nitrogen levels**

**Table 2: Quality parameters of Shalimar Fodder Maize-1 under varied planting density and nitrogen levels**

Treatment	Crude protein (%)	NDF (%)	ADF (%)	IVDMD (%)	Ash content (%)
<b>Planting density</b>					
<b>D<sub>1</sub></b>	9.15	64.35	41.65	58.21	8.44
<b>D<sub>2</sub></b>	9.08	66.56	43.69	57.18	8.24
<b>D<sub>3</sub></b>	9.03	68.65	45.33	56.29	8.33
<b>SE(m)±</b>	0.07	0.17	0.168	0.11	0.13
<b>CD (p≤0.05)</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>0.677</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>NS</b>
<b>Nitrogen levels</b>					
<b>N<sub>1</sub></b>	9.0	68.23	45.24	55.26	8.16
<b>N<sub>2</sub></b>	9.21	67.31	44.27	56.40	8.28
<b>N<sub>3</sub></b>	9.43	66.27	43.41	57.42	8.35
<b>N<sub>4</sub></b>	9.65	65.47	42.62	58.16	8.50
<b>N<sub>5</sub></b>	9.75	65.31	42.25	58.89	8.61
<b>SE(m)±</b>	0.04	0.34	0.44	0.34	0.12
<b>CD (p≤0.05)</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>1.008</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>0.99</b>	<b>NS</b>