

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_116566
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF METHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACT OF MENTHA PIPERITA ON ALLOXAN-INDUCED PANCREATIC DAMAGE ON ADULT MALE WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It is essential in need of a remedy for Type I DM from natural source. This manuscript addressed an basic idea in exploring the possibility of herb chosen.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. It can be reframed by including results and conclusion.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Correct.</p> <p>References are pretty old and need recent references in terms of statistics on diabetics.</p> <p>Introduction part contains junk content and more irrelevant information to the current study scenario. It is also noted only one experimental dose was analysed. Botanical names and other non-native English terms are not properly written as per international standards. Ethical clearance number can be included in article. Quantitative methods and results were missing for phytoconstituents. Final opinion scientific information was not properly disseminated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fair</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Appropriate Minor corrections are needed.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kumaresa Pillai Natarajan
Department, University & Country	T John College of Pharmacy, India