Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCS_116832**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Phytotoxicity and residual effect of some herbicides on three Egyptian rice cultivars (Oryza sativa L.)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. Yes indeed the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it focuses on the herbicidal control of weeds which are a great menace in rice cultivars and it is also important to evaluate the phytotoxic and residual effects of the used herbicides to evaluate their safe use in rice cultivars. 2. The title could be written in better way. I suggest "Evaluation of phytotoxic effects of herbicides on physiological and agronomical characteristics of Egyptian rice cultivars (*Oryza sativa* L.) and their residues in rice seeds" 3. Yes, is thorough, inclusive, and covers all relevant aspects or components of related research study. 4. Yes 5. Yes it is okay. 6. Yes the references are okay but need to be written according to format and arrangement in alphabetical order. 7. Check for the spaces between words and lines and similar errors.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes the language is fine. Only few minor changes need to be done.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Uditi Dhakad**
Department, University & Country	**College of Agriculture, India**