

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116645
Title of the Manuscript:	Seedling growth, field performance and economics of production of early cauliflower as influenced by different sowing media and variety under greenhouse condition in Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In order to fulfill the increasing demand of food due to increase in global population, research is needed to improve the productivity of major food crops. Present study titled "Seedling growth, field performance and economics of production of early cauliflower as influenced by different sowing media and variety under greenhouse condition in Assam" is a good effort in this direction.</p> <p>1. Present manuscript is important for scientific community as the objective of the paper is closely related to the augmentation of food crops.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is written well.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and having value to the relevant community.</p> <p>6. Sufficient references are provided however; it is suggested to provide more (latest within last 5 years) references covering the area of research addressed in the present manuscript..</p> <p>Authors are advised to brief about the meaning of "True leaf" in the methods section. It is also advised to elaborate the component of "Gross return and Net return"/ The conclusion requires some improvement, It is too short, advised to provide specific conclusions (better in pointwise) based on the results of study. Manuscript is well written however, authors need to do above corrections: before acceptance of paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript should be corrected for English grammar and language. Preferably by taking help from a native speaker</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail University College, Saudi Arabia