

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_117383
Title of the Manuscript:	Antidepressant Prescribing Practice in a Psychiatric Outpatient Hospital: A Drug Utilization Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Antidepressants are a group of drugs that have been used for many years and will continue to be used. Studies on antidepressants in pharmacology, pharmacovigilance or other fields are always likely to be current and important. This article is designed on a topic that can contribute to the scientific community and literature. 2. The title of the article is appropriate. 3. The abstract of the article adequately reflects the content of the article. 4. Although subsections and structure of the article are generally considered appropriate, it would be more appropriate to place the tables under the relevant section to avoid confusion in the text. 5. The explanation was expressed in general comments. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The methodology section lacks necessary detail. Authors should share validity and reliability information regarding the ASEC scale they use. Additionally, information about how the statistics were analyzed, which programs were used, and the statistical tests if used should be shared. 2. Although the authors reference it, it is not a common situation in common practice that the most common side effect of antidepressants is suicidal ideation. Non-specific side effects of antidepressants (weight change, sweating, yawning, restlessness, sexual side effects) stand out as the more common side effects in the literature. Authors should elaborate on this finding by reviewing more literature if necessary. If a finding is observed or obtained contrary to the common knowledge in the literature, it can be shared in the discussion section as "contrary to common results". 3. Placing the tables under the relevant section in the text will make it easier for the reader. 4. An important point in studies on antidepressants is the dose of antidepressants used. If the authors have this data, they should share information about the dosage in the article. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmethan Turan
Department, University & Country	Bozkır State Hospital, Turkey