

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116711
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS AFFECTING POD SHATTERING IN SOYBEAN (Glycine max)-A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes., its Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Clarity and Organization: The article is well-organized into sections covering different aspects of pod shattering. Each section discusses a specific factor influencing pod shattering, which helps in understanding the topic thoroughly.</p> <p>2. Abstract and Introduction: The abstract and introduction provide a clear overview of the importance of soybean, the significance of pod shattering, and the need to understand its underlying factors. They effectively set the stage for the detailed discussion that follows.</p> <p>3. Content Depth: The article delves into various factors influencing pod shattering, including pod morphology, biochemical processes, anatomical structures, environmental conditions, and genetic factors. This comprehensive approach enriches the understanding of pod shattering mechanisms.</p> <p>4. Referencing: The article makes good use of references to support the presented information and claims. It's important to ensure that all claims and statements are properly cited to maintain credibility.</p> <p>5. Figures and Tables: The inclusion of figures and tables, such as Figure 1 and Figure 2, enhances the clarity of the presented information. They provide visual aids that complement the textual explanations.</p> <p>6. Language and Style: The language used is technical and scientific, which is appropriate for the subject matter. However, there are a few instances where sentence structures could be simplified for easier comprehension.</p> <p>7. Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the key points discussed in the article and emphasizes the importance of understanding pod shattering for soybean breeding programs.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gangadhara Daggalli
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India