

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_117492
Title of the Manuscript:	Temperature response and effect of different culture media on growth of <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> causing charcoal rot disease of sesame
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript based on the study is important and corroborate the relevance in the present scenario of climate change and subsequent incidences of pathogen attack on plants. It is important to identify the ways and means to restrict <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> to create charcoal rot disease in Sesame.</p> <p>2. Title is explaining the purpose of study.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Only one reference of 2022 is in manuscript, add few more. There should be some references of 2023 should be added in the manuscript as several studies are available on the aspects.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is reasonably acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Although the work is not new, however the said manuscript may be considered for publication. Along with thorough check of manuscript once for Typological error/ Grammer / Scientific names etc if any found.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghvendra Saxena
Department, University & Country	Amity University, India