

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_117075
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Perceptions of Small Ruminant Farmers in the Mouhoun Province of Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript attempts to analyse the climate perception of ruminant farmers in the MP, in a context marked by a downward trend in climatic parameters. The findings of the research is more important for policy makers and Government agencies (like Agriculture Extension Services) than scientific community. The findings of the study does not appear to add to the body of scientific knowledge.</p> <p>2. For increasing clarity, the word 'climate change' should be included in the title. Suggested Title can be "Climate Change Perceptions of Small Ruminant Farmers in the Mouhoun Province of Burkina Faso"</p> <p>3. The abstract does not bring out the research findings, conclusion and policy implications of the research paper. These may be added to make the abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Existing body of knowledge on this topic is not deliberated through a detailed literature survey. The research objective is not correlated to gaps in the existing body of the knowledge. A Literature Review or Survey section is needed. The Literature Survey section should also identify the gaps in present body of knowledge and to arrive at Research Objectives. Also, problem statement in the geographical context is needed. A separate Research Objectives Section is needed after Literature Review. Research Methodology Section needs to be further elaborated. The methodology for data collection (Questionnaire method or else) is not mentioned in the paper. There should be separates sections/sub sections for Data Collections and Analysis. Limitation of the research should be included in the conclusion section. The tables could not be read as the legends are not in English.</p> <p>5. The sample size is 286. In the paper, it is mentioned that only respondents over 50 years of age are considered for sampling, however, reasons for this are not substantiated through literature support. Sampling methodology is not mentioned. % of population covered under sample is not available. These data may be mentioned for adjudging the result scientifically. No statistical analysis is done on the data, only Bar & Pie charts are prepared.</p> <p>7. Many of the references are Masters or Doctoral Theses. References from articles published in reputed journals or from Books will be preferred. The referencing style is not standardised. In text referencing is made using numbers which makes it difficult to understand the author and year details instantly. Also, end text reference list does not follow any standard format. In some references, year is missing. As per policy of the journal, an uniform referencing standardlike APA, Harvard is preferred.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. In general, yes, however, some sentences may require revision for giving more clarity.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subrata Nath
Department, University & Country	India