

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_116251
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Nutritional Status in Post- Menopausal Women of Ayodhya District, U.P.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>It is recommended that the title be revised to: The nutritional status comparison between the rural and urban population of post-menopausal women in Ayodhya district. Avoid using acronyms in article titles.</p> <p>It is recommended that the study design, location, study duration, and conclusion be included in the abstract. In the results section of the abstract, authors are encouraged to write down the nutritional status and nutrient intake level.</p> <p><b>Yes,</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The author should revise the number of material methods. It should be no. 2.</li> <li>• The table should be written according to the journal's format.</li> <li>• Numbers should be written consistently after the commas. For example, two numerals after the comma.</li> <li>• In the result and discussion section, authors are encouraged to analyze the effect of the intake of nutrients on nutritional status.</li> <li>• The discussion should not repeat the results but provide a detailed interpretation of data and the relationship between one data and other data.</li> <li>• In the conclusion section, authors are encouraged to write applicable conclusions and not repeat the result. And write briefly about the implications of the results of this research.</li> </ul> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>References should follow the journal's format.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the Author has to improve the manuscript with a proofreader.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>None</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leny Budhi Harti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Univeristas Brawijaya, Indonesia</b>