

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_117025
Title of the Manuscript:	The affects of Ce doping Cr2O3 based catalysts supported on Activated carbon for 1,2-dichloroethane abatement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Manuscript review No: Ms_JERR_117025</p> <p>Title: The affects of Ce doping Cr2O3 based catalysts supported on Activated carbon for 1,2-dichloroethane abatement</p> <p>A. Overview</p> <p>1. In this manuscript the authors present an extended and comprehensive work on the affects of Ce doping Cr2O3</p> <p>2. The contents are expressed clearly; the manuscript is well organized, and it is written in reasonable English. Nevertheless, reading of the manuscript is needed as several typos and grammar issues ca be found in the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The authors have acknowledged recent research on this topic, the references are all 4-5 years old at most.</p> <p>4. Most of all there is missing a critical revision of literature related with the research here presented</p> <p>B. Overall assessment</p> <p>The review presented here is very interesting and has potential for help authors and research groups.</p> <p>In my opinion the manuscript can be published after MINOR corrections.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Manuel Almeida
Department, University & Country	Universidade de Trásos Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal