

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_116766
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Dubai's Floods on Ghana's Trade and Economic Outlook: Addressing the Challenges and Forging New Directions
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The script is fine overall and holds statistical relevance and value as it gives insight into the Impact of Dubai's Floods on Ghana's Trade. Overall it seems to be on reports and could be a good addition to the community.</p> <p>The Title of the article though talks about the overall topic in context. But in the title it could be added as "Exploring the Impact of Dubai's Floods on Ghana's Trade and Economic Outlook: Addressing the Challenges and Forging New Directions, a Literature Review" as in methods and in abstract it is clearly mentioned it as a literature review.</p> <p>The abstract is on point and comprehensive without side-talk which is good. It is on point. A little bit of more specific data-set in abstract could be added.</p> <p>The structure seems to be fine enough. In results no data tables are given, which could be added with there graphical representation so that the impact could be visually represented and understood by the reader effortlessly. With the study it could be concluded on the impact but if it is to predict the near future if the trends remains the same could be added, it would be a great addition to the work. A minor addition of today's problems and suggested solutions could be added with the remaining work, the solutions to be specific like technical solutions, geopolitical solutions etc.</p> <p>The given manuscript is checked and no problems were found at the review, Some graphical representations of the suitable given tables or data could also be added to enhance quality. Otherwise, it seems to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>Referencing is not done properly. Ideally it should be with numbers so that the reader get the benefit of smooth reading. For reference the previously published journals could be seen. More references in Quantity and of recent could be added as the latest reference is of 2018.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Quality of language is fine and Scholarly enough, though more data oriented language could be used for betterment of the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhajit Pal
Department, University & Country	Maulana Abul Kalam Azad University of Technology, India