

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117149
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on integrated Nutrient Management in Aggregatum Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> var. <i>aggregatum</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is okay for scientific community 2. I suggest the title should be 'Field experiment on integrated nutrient management in 3. The abstract is not comprehensive, and not appropriately presented. The key word does not contain some important key components that are vital in this work. 4. Subsections and structures are appropriate but I suggest that, the author use block paragraph 5. Yes. It is scientifically correct, but lack composition 6. References are sufficient and recent. But I suggest the author use APA style of referencing 7. A scientific research should not only come up with conclusion but also give recommendations for a way forward to the community. Therefore, the author should include recommendations and the title should be 'Conclusion and recommendations' 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English language used is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The abstract should be presented in single line spacing and in one paragraph. The presentation should start with the main objective of the study, followed by the specific objectives. Brief presentation of Methodology which should include the area where the experiment was carried out, design used, major results, a statement of conclusion and recommendation.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rejoice James Mshelizah
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria