

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117149
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on integrated Nutrient Management in Aggregatum Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> var. <i>aggregatum</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript is very important for scientific community.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3- The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5- I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- The references in the manuscript are sufficient and more than 90% are recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>1- When the year of publication of any reference has been placed between two Parentheses in the text do not place comma before it.</p> <p>2- Result and discussion must be changed to Results and discussion.</p> <p>3- In abstract and materials and methods, summer should be changed to summer season.</p> <p>4- The experiment should be replicated three times at least.</p> <p>5- All results must be discussed. Consistency and relationship of the results with previous researches must be discussed. Practical impact and implications on future researches should be pinpointed.</p> <p>6- Discussion is insufficient.</p> <p>7- The reference Kumar (2020) which has been mentioned in the text, has not mentioned in the references list.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukhtar Abdel Aziz Mohamed Osman
Department, University & Country	University of Dongola, Sudan