

Original Research Article

Studies on response of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.) cv. Umranto fertilizers, micronutrients and bioregulatorson flowering and fruit growth and developments attributes.

ABSTRACT:

Abstaet:

In order to investigate the effect of fertilizers, micronutrients and bioregulators on flowering and fruit growth and developments on ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.) cv. Umrant, an experiment was carried out during 2019/2020 cropping season at the Fruit Research Station, Imalia, Department of Horticulture JNKVV Jabalpur. The study used a factorial design using randomized complete block design (RCBD), with three replications and a total of twelve treatment combinations that included bioregulators, micronutrients, and fertilizers either separately or in various combinations. The application of treatment T4 [RDF (N:P:K)+Foliar spray of GA₃ + NAA (50 ppm+50 ppm)] was found to be significantly superior as compared to other treatments for flowering parameter in respect to induce early flower bud formation, based on the results obtained in this study. It takes 22 days to induce the earliest flowering after spray, and it takes 8.67 days to achieve 50% flowering. The earliest date of flower bud initiation was found with the T11 [RDF (N:P:K)+ NPK -18:18:18 (1.0%) + ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + Borax (0.3%)] which showed to be the best for fruit growth and development characteristics, including specific gravity (1.047), fruit weight (36.52 g), fruit volume (36.56 ml), fruit length (4.53 cm), and fruit diameter (3.50 cm).With regard to practically every fruit growth and development attribute, the treatment T10 was found to be the second best.

The investigation entitled “Studies on response of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.) cv. Umrant to fertilizers, micronutrients and bio regulators on “flowering and fruit growth & developments attributes” was carried out during 2019-20 at Fruit Research Station, Imalia, Department of Horticulture JNKVV Jabalpur. The experiment was laid out under randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 3 replications having 12 treatments comprising fertilizers, micronutrients, bioregulators either alone or in different combinations. On the basis of result obtained in present investigation, it is concluded that the application of treatment T₄ [RDF (N:P:K)+Foliar spray of GA₃ + NAA (50 ppm+50 ppm)] was found significantly superior as compared to other treatments for flowering parameter in respect to induce early flower bud formation. The earliest date of flower bud initiation (11.09.2019) and days taken to induce earliest flowering after spray is (22 days), days taken for 50% flowering (8.67).The treatment T₁₁ [RDF (N:P:K)+ NPK -18:18:18 (1.0%) + ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + Borax (0.3%)] proved best for fruit growth and development attributes viz. fruit length (4.53 cm), fruit diameter (3.50 cm), fruit weight (36.52 g), fruit volume (36.56 ml), specific gravity (1.047).The treatment T₁₀ was found next best in respect to almost all the fruit growth and development attributes.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 14 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

1.0 INTRODUCTION;

The Indian jujube, commonly known as ber, is a member of the Rhamnaceae family. It is often referred to as the "King of arid-zone fruits" or the "poor man's apple." The genus *Ziziphus* gets its name from the Arabic word "Zizaif," which is the name of the fruit. The Rhamnaceae family itself comprises approximately 50 genera and more than 600 species that are distributed across tropical and sub-tropical regions in the northern hemisphere (Pareek, 1983). *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk. has its origins in India, while *Ziziphus vulgaris* Lamk. is native to China. These two species have been commercially cultivated and cherished in both India and China for centuries and are among the most commercially significant varieties (ref).

The ber tree exhibits rapid growth, characterized by a wide-spreading canopy and a relatively short trunk. Its branches are slender, covered in a downy texture, and often feature pairs of brown, sharp spines. This tree is exceptionally resilient to drought conditions, owing to several xerophytic adaptations (ref).

The ber fruit stands out as a fruit crop that can yield favourable returns even when grown under rainfed conditions. This is attributed to its remarkable adaptability to a wide range of soils, varying water availability conditions, and diverse climates, although it is sensitive to heavy frosts. It thrives particularly well in arid and semi-arid regions. This adaptability makes it a resilient and economically viable option for cultivation in areas with challenging environmental conditions (ref).

The ber fruit boasts a spongy, sweet, and delicious pulp that is not only flavourful but also packed with essential nutrients. It is known to be an excellent source of vitamins, including vitamin C, vitamin A, and various B vitamins (ref).

Chemical nutrients and bio-regulators, even in small quantities, play a crucial role in promoting the growth and development of plants, ultimately influencing both yield and quality. These substances can bring about changes in plant metabolism by altering the nutritional and hormonal status of the plant (Gadi and Bohra, 2005). 1-Naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) is an important plant hormone reported to enhance the fruit set, growth, retention, yield and market price of some fruit species also delayed in fruit ripening as well as enhancing fruit formation through cell division and elongation (Dutta and Banik, 2007). Gibberellins are reported to increase fruit set, size, retention and yield as well as improve fruit physico-chemical characteristics and ripening (Rizk-Allaet al., 2011). In addition to plant hormones, micronutrients such as boron (B) and zinc (Zn) have proven to be beneficial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [SP1]: Please provide the reference.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [SP2]: Pls, provide the reference.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

in ber fruit cultivation, (Samant *et al.* in 2008) demonstrated that these micronutrients can positively impact fruit set, yield, fruit quality, and the storage life of ber fruits.

You need to state the problems and objectives of the study. And also the significance of this study.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Experimental design:

The present investigation was carried out under Kymore plateau and Satpura hill regions during 2019-20 at Fruit Research Station Imalia, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture JNKVV Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, on Ber cv. Umran and the trees were maintained under a uniform cultural schedule. The experiment was laid out in RBD (Randomized block design) comprising 12 treatment combinations and was replicated thrice. Treatments were given twice i.e., first, before flowering and second, at fruit setting stage. The following treatment combinations are as follows: T₀: Control, T₁:RDF (N:P:K) (500:250:250) – Soil application, T₂:RDF(N:P:K) + Foliar spray of GA₃ (50 ppm), T₃: RDF(N:P:K) + Foliar spray of NAA (50 ppm), T₄:RDF(N:P:K) + Foliar spray of GA₃ + NAA (50 ppm + 50 ppm), T₅: RDF (N:P:K) + Foliar spray of KNO₃ (1.0 %), T₆: RDF (N:P:K) +Urea (1.5 %) + ZnSO₄(0.50%), T₇: RDF (N:P:K) + Urea (1.5 %) + ZnSO₄(0.50%) + Borax(0.50%), T₈: RDF (N:P:K)+ Urea (1.5%)+ZnSO₄ (0.5%)+ Borax (0.5%)+CuSO₄ (0.3%), T₉:RDF (N:P:K) + NPK – 18:18:18 (1.0 %), T₁₀: RDF (N:P:K) + NPK -18:18:18 (0.5%) + ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) and T₁₁:RDF (N:P:K) + NPK -18:18:18 (1.0%) + ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + Borax (0.3%).

Preparation of solutions:

Solutions of NPK, KNO₃, Urea, ZnSO₄, and Borax were prepared by dissolving them in distilled water to obtain the required concentration as mentioned above. GA₃ and NAA solutions were prepared in distilled water after dissolving them in the required amount of alcohol.

2.2 Application of treatments: Spraying of chemicals & growth regulators

Prior to flowering, the first sprayings were applied during the RDF treatment. When the fruit was starting to set, a second spraying was applied. A foot sprayer was used to apply the spray.

The first sprayings were done at the time of RDF application before flowering i.e. (20.08.2019). The second spraying was given at the fruit setting stage. The spraying was done with a foot sprayer.

Comment [SP3]: The purpose and significance of this study need to be explained before the materials and methods.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Justified

2.3 Data collection:

2.3.1 1. Flowering parameters

1.1 1. Date of flower bud initiation

Five uniform branches around each tree were selected and tagged. The date of flower bud initiation was noted in each tagged branch from the date of foliar application of treatments.

1.2 2. Days taken for flowering (50%)

Days taken to 50 % flowering in each treatment were counted after the first flowering in the tagged shoots based on visual observation on all four ~~directions~~ tagged branches of each treated plant.

2. 2.4 Fruit growth and development attributes.

2.1 1. Fruit length (cm)

The length of each fruit from stalk end to styler end was measured with the help of vernier calipers at 60, 90,120,150 days after the fruit set and the average length of fruit was calculated.

2.2 2. Fruit diameter (cm)

The diameter of each fruit was measured at the maximum thickness of the fruit by vernier calipers at 60, 90,120,150 days after the fruit set, and the average diameter of the fruit was worked out.

3. 2.3 Fruit weight (g)

The average weight of the fruit was calculated after the final picking as per the formula given below:

Average fruit weight = Total weight of fruits (g) / Number of fruits

4. 2.4 Fruit volume (ml)

Fruits were placed in measuring cylinders of 1-liter capacity filled with water. The replaced water was measured, and the data were recorded as the volume of fruits in ml, then the average value was computed.

5. 2.5 Specific gravity

The specific gravity of the fruit was determined by weighing fruits from each treatment of each replication in top pan balance and then recording displaced water after sinking the fruits in water. The specific gravity was calculated by dividing the weight of the fruit by the volume of the fruit.

Formatted: Body Text, Right: 0.02", Space Before: 0.05 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted: Normal, Right: 0.02", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold, Raised by 1 pt

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Normal, Right: 0.02", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold, Raised by 1 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Bold, Raised by 1 pt

Formatted: Normal, Right: 0.02", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Normal, Right: 0.02", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Please you need to state the statistical analysis used for this study.

Comment [SP4]: Please provide the section for Data Analysis.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

The results obtained during the investigation were statistically analyzed and presented under the following heads:

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.29", Right: 0.02"

3.1 Flowering parameters

Formatted: Font: Bold

The result about the Date of flower bud initiation and the number of days required for 50% flowering under various treatments is shown in Table 1. The studies showed that this attribute has been significantly impacted by the different treatments.

Formatted: Right: 0.02", Line spacing: Multiple 1.08 li, Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at 1.16"

The data pertaining to the Date of flower bud initiation and Days taken for 50% flowering of different treatments are presented in (Table 1). The investigations revealed that the various treatments have influenced this trait greatly. The earliest date of flower bud initiation (11.09.2019) and days taken to induce earliest flowering after spray is (22 days) and the minimum days to 50% flowering (8.33 days) were recorded in treatment T₄ [RDF (N:P:K)+Foliar spray of GA₃ + NAA (50 ppm + 50 ppm)] followed by treatment T₁₁ [RDF (N:P:K) + NPK -18:18:18 (1.0%) + ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + Borax (0.3%)], whereas the maximum day taken for flower bud initiation (19.09.2019) and the maximum days (13.67 days) to 50% flowering was recorded in T₀ (Control).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0.02", Line spacing: Multiple 1.08 li

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [SP5]: It will rather be better to use days after planting (DAP) instead of using date.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

The early onset of flowering may be attributed to the influence of GA₃, which enhances leaf production and encourages vegetative growth. This boosts photosynthetic rates, leading to increased carbohydrate accumulation and ultimately the initiation of flower development, as indicated by Ujjwalet al. (2018). Additionally, NAA may contribute to the enlargement of cells, further supporting this process. These findings are in line with Karole and Tiwari, (2016), Parousset al. (2002), Kachaet al. (2014).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

3.2.0 Fruit growth and development attributes.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Fruit growth and development characteristics, such as fruit length, fruit breadth, fruit weight, fruit volume, and stone length, stone diameter, and stone weight, were measured and recorded under different conditions. The results of the studies showed how much the different treatments affected this attribute.

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

The data about fruit growth & development attributes like fruit length, fruit width, fruit weight, fruit volume, stone length, stone diameter, and stone weight, were observed under various treatments. The investigations revealed that the various treatments have influenced this trait greatly. The maximum fruit length (2.94 cm), (3.59 cm), (3.84 cm), (4.53 cm) at 60,90,120,150, days respectively after the fruit set presented in (Table 2), the maximum fruit diameter (1.85 cm), (2.30 cm), (3.36 cm), (3.50 cm), at 60,90,120,150 days respectively after fruit set presented in (Table 3), maximum fruit weight (36.52 g), fruit volume (36.56 ml) and

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

specific gravity (1.047) presented in (Table 4) were recorded with the application of treatment T₁₁ [RDF (N:P:K) + NPK -18:18:18 (1.0%) + ZnSO₄ (0.5%) + Borax (0.3%)].

The minimum values of fruit length (2.62 cm), (3.18 cm), (3.52 cm), (3.83 cm) at 60,90,120,150 days respectively after fruit set, fruit diameter (1.56 cm), (1.93 cm), (2.85 cm), (2.99 cm) at 60,90,120,150 days respectively after fruit set, minimum fruit weight (27.50 g), fruit volume (27.22 ml), and specific gravity (0.899 gm/ml) was observed under control T₀, which was at par with treatments T₁₀, T₈, T₇, T₆, T₄, and T₂.

This phenomena may be explained by the stimulation of chlorophyll production and the enhancement of photosynthetic activity by nitrogen, phosphorus, and potash. According to research by Jat and Kacha (2014), this ultimately results in higher food material storage in the tissue and larger fruit. Prasad (2005), Dalal et al. (2011), Dhayal et al. (2011), Mishra et al. (2011), and Gill and Singh (2011) are all in agreement with these findings.

~~This phenomenon could be attributed to nitrogen, phosphorus, and potash stimulating chlorophyll synthesis and enhancing photosynthetic activity. This, in turn, leads to increased storage of food material in the tissue, ultimately resulting in larger fruit size, as documented by Jat and Kacha (2014). These findings align with Prasad (2005) Dalal et al. (2011), Dhayal et al. (2011), Mishra et al. (2011), and Gill and Singh, (2011).~~

Boron boosts nitrogen uptake, facilitating photosynthesis and leading to carbohydrate accumulation, ultimately resulting in increased fruit size, as reported by Kamble et al. (1994), Sharma et al. (2008), Kamble et al. (1994), Kumar and Shukla (2010), Singh et al. (2016), Nehete et al. (2011).

Moreover, Zinc enhances fruit size by stimulating auxin production and improving sugar metabolism. It increases fruit length, diameter, fruit weight and specific gravity possibly through cell wall regulation, leading to larger and heavier fruits. Zinc maintains elevated auxin levels in fruit parts, and its role in auxin production is well-established. This results in greater fruit width and length due to enhanced water uptake, as reported by Pippa et al. (2019). These findings are inline with Joon et al. (1984), Kamble et al. (1994), Singh and Vashista (1997), Kamble et al. (1994), Sharma et al. (2008), Sharma et al. (2011), Pandey et al. (1988), Nehete et al. (2011), Chandra and Singh (2015), Gamiet et al. (2019).

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Justified, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0.02"

Table 1. Effect of fertilizers, micronutrients and bio regulators on Date of flower bud initiation, Days to (50%) flowering, initial fruit set percentage and fruit retention percentage.

| Treatments | Date of flower bud initiation | Days to flowering 50 % |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| T0 | 19.09.2019 | 13.67 |
| T1 | 17.09.2019 | 11.67 |
| T2 | 14.09.2019 | 10.67 |
| T3 | 12.09.2019 | 9.00 |
| T4 | 11.09.2019 | 8.33 |
| T5 | 15.09.2019 | 11.67 |
| T6 | 13.09.2019 | 10.33 |
| T7 | 13.09.2019 | 9.67 |
| T8 | 12.09.2019 | 9.33 |
| T9 | 15.09.2019 | 11.33 |
| T10 | 11.09.2019 | 9.00 |
| T11 | 11.09.2019 | 8.67 |
| SEm± | 0.79 | 0.59 |
| C.D. at 5% | 2.30 | 1.71 |

Table 2. Effect of fertilizers, micronutrients, and bio regulators on fruit length (cm) at different days of growth and development period at (60, 90,120,150 days)

| reatments | Fruit length (cm) at different days | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| | 0 days | 0 days | 20 days | 50 days |
| 0 | .62 | .18 | .52 | .83 |
| 1 | .65 | .22 | .55 | .87 |
| 2 | .80 | .37 | .70 | .15 |
| 3 | .78 | .34 | .68 | .12 |
| 4 | .82 | .40 | .72 | .22 |
| 5 | .73 | .32 | .63 | .09 |
| 6 | .84 | .43 | .75 | .25 |
| 7 | .85 | .49 | .78 | .29 |
| | | | | |

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 1.29", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted: Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.02"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted Table

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: single

- conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **67**(2): 277-280.
- Dutta, P., & Banik, A.K. (2005). Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physico-chemical quality of Sardar guava grown in red and lateritic tract of West Bengal. *In International Guava Symposium* **735**: 407- 411
- Gadi, B.R., & Bohra, S.P. (2005). Effect of plant growth regulators on photosynthesis and some biochemical parameters in ber cv. Gola. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **62**(3): 296-297.
- Gami, J., Sonkar, P., Halder, A. & Patidar, D.K. (2019). Effect of Pre harvest Spray of ZnSO₄, KNO₃ and NAA on Growth, Yield and Quality of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Seb under Malwa Plateau Conditions. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* **8**(3): 1977-1984.
- Ghosh, S.N., Bera, B., Kundu, A. & Roy, S. (2008). Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and physico-chemical characteristics of fruits in ber 'Banarasi Karka' grown in close spacing. *In International Jujube Symposium*. **840**: 357- 362.
- Haridayal, P.R., Singh, D. & Lal, G. (2011). Response of ber to nutrient management in relation to yield and physico-chemical composition of fruits under semi-arid condition. *Annals of Arid Zone*. **50**(1): 1-4.
- Iqbal, M., Khan, M.Q., Rehman, K. & Munir, M. (2009). Effect of foliar application of NAA on fruit drop, yield and physico-chemical characteristics of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) red flesh cultivar. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, **47**(3): 207- 210.
- Jadhao, B.J., Ghawade, S.M., Nandre, D.R., Dalal, S.R. & Jogdande, N.D. (2005). Integrated nutrient management in guava cv. 'Sardar'. *In 1st Guava International Symposium*.
- Jat, G. & Laxmidas, K.H. (2014). Response of guava to foliar application of urea and zinc on fruit set, yield and quality. *Journal of agrisearch*. **1**(2): 157-162.
- Joon, M.S., Singh, R.R. & Daulta, B.S. (1984). Effect of foliar spraying of zinc and urea on yield and physico-chemical composition of ber fruit cv. Gola. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. **13**(3): 110-112.
- Kacha, H.L., Viradia, R.R., Leua, H.N., Giriraj, J. & Tank, A.K. (2012). Effect of NAA, GA₃ and etrel on yield and quality of phalsa (*Grewia asiatica* L.) under South- Saurashtra condition. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. **7**(2): 242-245.
- Kamble, A.B., Desai, U.T. & Choudhari, S.M. (1994). Effect of micronutrients on fruit set, fruit retention and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk). *Annals of Arid zone*. **33**(1): 53-55.
- Karole, B. & Tiwari, R. (2016). Effect of pre-harvest spray of growth regulators and urea on growth, yield and quality of ber under malwa plateau conditions. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. **18**(1): 18-22
- Katiyar, P.N., Yadav, V. & Singh, J.P. (2010). Effect of preharvest spray of NAA, GA₃ and Urea on fruiting, fruit quality and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Annals of Horticulture*. **3**(1): 92-94.
- Kumar, S. & Shukla, A.K. (2010). Improvement of old ber cv. Gola orchard through bunding and micro-nutrients management. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **67**(3): 322-327.
- Kundu, S. & Mitra, S.K. (1999). Response of guava to foliar spray of copper, boron and zinc. *Indian Agric.* **43**(1): 49-54.
- Madhavi, A.M., Prasad, I., Prabhakar, R. and Girwani, A. (2005). Integrated nutrient management for increased productivity and quality in guava. *1st International Guava Symposium*. Dec. 5- 8. CISH. Lucknow.
- Meena, V.S., Yadav, P.K. & Meena, P.M. (2008). Yields attributes of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. gola as influenced by foliar application of ferrous sulphate and borax. *Agricultural Science Digest*. **28**(3): 219-221.
- Mishra, S., Choudhary, M.R., Yadav, B.L. & Singh, S.P. (2011). Studies on the response of

- integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of ber. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **68**(3): 318-321.
- Nehete, D.S., Padhiar, B.V., Shah, N.I., Bhalerao, P.P., Kolambe, B.N. & Bhalerao, R.R. (2011). Influence of micronutrient spray on flowering, yield, quality and nutrient content in leaf of mango cv. KESAR. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. **6**(1): 63- 67.
- Pandey, D.K., Pathak, R.A. & Pathak, R.K. (1988). Studies on the Foliar Application of Nutrients and Plant Growth Regulators in Sardar Guava (*Psidium Guajava* L.) Effect on Yield and Fruit Quality. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **45**(3): 197-202.
- Pareek, O.P. (1983). The Jujube. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*. New Delhi.
- Paroussi, G., Voyiatzis., D.G., Paroussis, E. & Drogoudi, P.D. (2002). Growth, flowering and yield responses to GA₃ of strawberry grown under different environmental conditions. *Scientia Horticulturae*. **96**(1): 103-113.
- Pippal, R., Lekhi, R., Barholia, A.K., Rana, S. & Rana, P. (2019). Response of guava to foliar spray of zinc, boron and magnesium on growth, development and yield. *J. Pharm. Phytochem.* **36**(2): 942-946.
- Prasad, R.N. (2005). Effect of N and P on growth, yield and quality of ber grown under rainfed conditions of Indian arid zone. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **62**(4): 404-406.
- Rizk-Alla, M.S., El-Wahab, M.A. & Fekry, O.M. (2011). Application of GA₃ and NAA as a means for improving yield, fruit quality and storability of Black Monukka grape cv. *Nature and Science*. **9**(1): 1-19.
- Samant, D., Mishra, N.K., Singh, A.K. & Lal, R.L. (2008). Effect of micronutrient sprays on fruit yield and quality during storage in ber cv. Umran under ambient conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **65**(4): 399-404.
- Sharma, J.R., Bhatia, S.K., Gupta, R.B., Surender, S. & Rakesh, G. (2008). Influence of nutrients and growth regulators on yield and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) fruits cv. Umran. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. **37**(3): 217-219.
- Singh, C. & Bal, J.S. (2006). Effect of nutrients and growth regulators on fruit drop, size and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Int. J. Agric. Sci.* **2**(2): 358-360.
- Singh, V.K., Shanker, K., Tiwari, N.K. & Rao, O.P. (2016). Effect of certain nutrients on fruit set, fruit retention, physical characters and yield of ber fruit (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk). cv. Banarasi Karaka. *International Journal of Bio-Resource and Stress Management*. **7**(1): 648-652.
- Singh, R.S., Vashistha, A. (1997). Effect of foliar spray of nutrient on fruit drop, yield and quality of ber cv. Seb. *Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science*. **26**(1-2): 20-24.
- Ujjwal, V., Singh, M.K., Dev, P., Chaudhary, M., Kumar, A. & Tomar, S. Effect of different levels of GA₃ and NAA on vegetative growth and flowering parameters of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.).
- Wang, Y., Tao, T., Liao, P. & Deng, Q. (2018). Effects of GA₃, NAA and PCPA on the Fruit Drop Rate and Quality of *Zizyphus jujuba* 'Zhanshanmizao'. In 7th International Conference on Energy and Environmental Protection (ICEEP 2018). *Atlantis Press*.
- Yadav, A.K., Singh, J.K. & Singh, H.K. (2011). Studies on integrated nutrient management in flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of mango cv. Amrapali under high density orcharding. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **68**(4): 453-460.
- Yadav, S., Shukla, H.S. & Ram, R.A. (2010). Studies on Foliar Application of NAA, GA, Boric acid, and Ca(NO) on Fruit Retention, Growth, Yield and Quality of Aonla (*Emblca officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Banarasi. *The Hort, J.*, Vol **23**(2)

UNDER PEER REVIEW