

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116018
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on response of ber (Ziziphus mauritiana L.) cv. Umran to fertilizers, micronutrients and bioregulators on flowering and fruit growth & developments attributes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No (It needs to cover some important information which the reviewer has provided but still need to add recommendation)</p> <p>No (The reviewer has appropriated the section but the writer need to accept the reviewer comments)</p> <p>No (The write up does not has purpose and significance of the study. Also there is no conclusion, recommendations and acknowledgement)</p> <p>No (The references contain uncited references. The writer need to cross check references and cite those used in the body of the work)</p> <p>This manuscript cannot be considered for publication in this format until the necessary information like: problem, objectives, conclusion, recommendation and acknowledgement are provided.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fair</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Major correction is needed which the reviewer has tried but the writer need to accept the reviewer's comment to effect these corrections.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abah Simon Peter
Department, University & Country	National Root Crops Research Institute, Nigeria