

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JABB_116372</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Effect of different spacing and NPK combination on plant growth, fruit yield and fruit quality of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) cv. Winter dawn</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> |  |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>  |  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very weak grammar needs to be improved</li> <li>- one paragraph one topic of discussion</li> <li>- The research objectives have not been described in the introductory chapter</li> <li>- In the research method, it is necessary to explain the number of repetitions and the number of samples observed</li> <li>- This article only displays research results, there is no discussion of why certain treatments are better or lower than other treatments.</li> <li>- There needs to be a scientific basis for why T5 treatment (25×30cm+100:120:80 NPK kg/ha) is proven to be the best in terms of yield and fruit quality, namely, number of days until the first flower appears, number of flowers per plant, days until fruit bud development, number of fruit per plant, fruit set, fruit yield, TSS, juice pH, acidity, fruit weight, length diameter.</li> <li>- In the abstract it is stated that one of the research objectives is to produce better profits for farmers, but in the text there is no calculation of profits at all.</li> <li>- Presentation of data is better in the form of a histogram/graph.</li> </ul> |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Mahayu Woro Lestari</b>                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Universitas Islam Malang, Indonesia</b> |