

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116850
Title of the Manuscript:	Participation and Perception of Project Affected People in SIA process under RFCTLARR Act 2013
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript provides valuable insights into the Social Impact Assessment (SIA) process under the RFCTLARR Act 2013, particularly focusing on the participation and perception of project affected people. Understanding the dynamics of land acquisition and its social implications is crucial for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners involved in development projects. Therefore, the manuscript holds significance for the scientific community. The title effectively captures the essence of the manuscript. It succinctly conveys the focus on participation and perception of project affected people in the SIA process under the RFCTLARR Act 2013. No alternative title is suggested. The abstract provides a clear overview of the study objectives, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. It effectively highlights the importance of SIA in the context of land acquisition and emphasizes the significance of stakeholder participation. Therefore, the abstract is comprehensive. The manuscript is well-structured with clearly defined subsections, including an introduction, objectives, methodology, SIA process overview, participation of project affected people, perception analysis, recommendations, and conclusion. This structure facilitates easy navigation and understanding of the content. The manuscript cogently presents insights gleaned from the RFCTLARR Act 2013 and pertinent discussions on the SIA process vis-à-vis land acquisition and developmental projects in Odisha. While the manuscript demonstrates a robust conceptual framework, augmenting it with empirical evidence or case studies would fortify its scholarly rigor and substantiate its assertions. The references cited in the manuscript provide a comprehensive overview of the relevant literature on land acquisition, SIA, and the RFCTLARR Act 2013. However, it would be beneficial to include some recent scholarly works (published after 2020) to enhance the currency of the reference list. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language and English quality of the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are minor grammatical errors and instances of awkward phrasing that could be revised for clarity and coherence. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Overall, the manuscript presents a thorough analysis of the SIA process under the RFCTLARR Act 2013, with a particular focus on the participation and perception of project affected people. The recommendations provided are practical and relevant for policymakers and practitioners involved in land acquisition and development projects. The authors may consider revising some sections for improved clarity and conciseness, particularly in the perception analysis where the bullet points could be consolidated into a more cohesive narrative. Additionally, integrating more recent references would enhance the scholarly rigor and relevance of the study. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saleh Ahmed Jalal Siam
Department, University & Country	American International University, Bangladesh