Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_117200**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Finding the Possible Solutions for the Development of Health and Wellness Industry in Ethnic Region**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. Yes, the manuscript holds significance for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of public health, rural development, and ethnic studies. Its analysis of policy gaps, integration models, and recommendations for government action provide a roadmap for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners seeking to address health disparities and foster sustainable development in ethnic minority areas (The manuscript provides a comprehensive review of the literature on the development of the health and wellness industry in ethnic regions, it appears to lack a robust analysis and synthesis of the existing research to offer new insights or advancements in the field.). 2. The title may need adjustment to accurately reflect its content. Alternative title: "Reviewing the Development Challenges and Solutions for the Health and Wellness Industry in Ethnic Regions " 3. Some sentences in the abstract are lengthy and could be condensed for better readability and clarity. Streamlining the language could enhance the overall impact of the abstract. While the abstract mentions findings such as the lack of national-level policies and weak local implementation in the development of the health and wellness industry, it could benefit from more specific details or examples to provide greater clarity on these points. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be well-organized and logically structured. 5. While the manuscript provides a comprehensive review of the literature on the development of the health and wellness industry in ethnic regions, it appears to lack a robust analysis and synthesis of the existing research to offer new insights or advancements in the field. The scientific correctness of the manuscript could be enhanced by incorporating a more critical and analytical approach to evaluate the literature, identify gaps or contradictions, and propose novel perspectives or solutions. Additionally, integrating empirical research or case studies could strengthen the scientific rigor and applicability of the findings, facilitating a deeper understanding of the topic and its implications for practice or policy. 6. The references provided in the manuscript cover a broad spectrum of relevant topics, including the development of the health and wellness industry, rural revitalization strategies, and government policies related to elderly care and healthcare. Additionally, the majority of the references are recent, reflecting current trends and developments in the field. However, to further enhance the comprehensiveness of the manuscript, it could benefit from additional references that delve deeper into specific aspects of the health and wellness industry in ethnic regions, such as case studies, empirical research, or theoretical frameworks.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language and English quality of the article seem appropriate for scholarly communication. The text is clear and coherent, making it easy to understand the research findings and conclusions. However, there may be minor grammatical errors or awkward phrasing in some parts of the manuscript that could be improved for better readability.	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript provides a comprehensive review of the challenges and potential solutions for the development of the health and wellness industry in ethnic regions. However, there are some areas that could be strengthened: ▪ it lacks a robust discussion on specific strategies for implementation and evaluation of proposed solutions. Providing more concrete examples and case studies could enhance the practical applicability of the suggestions; ▪ there are instances of grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that could be improved for better clarity and readability. Reviewing and revising these sections would enhance the overall quality of the manuscript;	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Benazzouz Touria**
Department, University & Country	**National School of Applied Sciences, Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco**