

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| JournalName:          | <a href="#">Asian JournalofCaseReportsinSurgery</a>                 |
| Manuscript Number:    | <b>Ms_AJCRS_116990</b>  |
| TitleoftheManuscript: | <b>Postlaparoscopicsurgeryportsiteinfections andthere managment</b> |
| Typeofthe Article     |   |

**ReviewForm1.7**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <p>The article, a case report, discusses the evolution of a late and recurrent parietal abscess, a situation rarely encountered after laparoscopic surgery, which is also the particularity of the case, in a relatively young patient, without associated pathology. The article is of interest to young doctors, to be aware of such situations.</p> <p>The title is in full agreement with the article.</p> <p>The abstract is synthetic and concise and is a good reflection of the content of the article.</p> <p>The structure of the article meets the rigors of a case presentation, with a clear discussion of the patient's data presented, with details of the medical history, evolution and intervention performed, accompanied by suggestive imaging. Also, the article includes a small discussion chapter and some relevant conclusions.</p> <p>The article is certainly correct, according to the data presented.</p> <p>The bibliography is limited, but for this kind of article I think it is sufficient.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>  | <p>The English used is correct, keywords are chosen correctly.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Cirimbei Ciprian  |
| Department, University & Country | University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Institute of Oncology, Romania |