

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_117224
Title of the Manuscript:	An Attempt At Dissecting Duterte’s Presidency Using the Political Ideas of Plato, Hobbes, Locke, and Machiavelli
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript is important for reflecting the rise of dictatorship which has been analysed in the light of the ideas given by the philosophers such as Plato, Hobbes, Locke and Machiavelli.</p> <p>Title can be short and comprehensive. <i>Understanding the Theoretical Idea of Dictatorship: Analysing Rodrigo Duterte’s Presidential Tenure in Philippines.</i></p> <p>Abstract is accepted.</p> <p>Paper has provided subsections and titles according to the objectives or the research questions framed.</p> <p>Manuscript has tried to describe the regime of the Duterte but at the same time researcher must rationally describe his political speech and policies which led to the fall of democracy in the Philippines and resulted to the birth of an autocratic nation.</p> <p>References are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language of the article is clear and easy for the academic understanding.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Paper can be accepted for Publication. Researcher has tried to analysis the Rodrigo as a dictator leader but the article would be more comprehensive if it includes the following points-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give a balanced overview within a few sentences how far the philosopher such as Plato, Hobbes and Machiavelli’s ideas can be interpreted in analysing the idea of a dictator regime along with that in what context they have analysed their views. As the paper is a theoretical therefore understanding of an authoritarian regime or dictatorship should be given. • Paper can also include the various protests and movements led by the people of Philippines against the leader and how all those movements are suppressed by the political regime should also be reflected. (In brief) • Researcher should use the word ‘Paper’ or may be ‘Study’ not as ‘Seminar paper’.(Highlighted in the text) 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phulmoni Das
Department, University & Country	Government Model College, India