

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116557
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of water and air in artisanally refined crude oil-impacted communities in Ekeremor, Bayelsa state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The authors have demonstrated sound input to scientific community. The tools used in analysing the data is robust and accurate.</p> <p>The article "Quality of water and air in artisanally refined crude oil-impacted communities in Ekeremor, Bayelsa state, Nigeria" is suitable and matches well with the content of the manuscript. Yes, the abstract is well detailed and captured the totality of the work.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is accurate.</p> <p>The analysis of the water and air gave interesting results that are adequate.</p> <p>The authors have used a blend of recent references and some that are not too recent. However, all the references are important to the discussion of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are a few typos that should be corrected in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should avoid repeating the details of the site where the sample was collected. Mentioning it once in the manuscript is sufficient except a new site location is being discussed. Additionally, the authors should give more information about site used as the control in the study. Why were this site chosen? What are the common activities in the control site?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shittu T D
Department, University & Country	United Arab Emirates University, UAE