

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116557
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of water and air in artisanally refined crude oil-impacted communities in Ekeremor, Bayelsa state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent?</p> <p>7. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. This article is a good one with information on impact of crude methods of oil refinery has affected the community negatively. It also informs the likely health condition of the people within that area</p> <p>Physicochemical Analysis of water and air in an artisanally refined crude oil-impacted Ekeremor communities in Nigeria  <b>Not really, it needs modification</b>            Yes            Yes</p> <p>The author of the article should write systematically and need not put explanation under each of the table.            The discussion should be concise, avoid tautology.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>2. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> <p>The article has good concept but need to be repackaged</p>	<p>The manuscript has identified an activity to be an important source of pollution in an oil impacted community.            The concept of the article is a good one. However, the write up need to be repackaged in order to show the beauty of the article. The table of the results need not to be explained individually. There is no need for separate recommendation            it should be embedded in abstract and conclusion. The discussion should be concise and be compared with other authors that have worked with the circumference of this study.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Onawumi, Oluwayemi Olanike</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria</b>