

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHHR_116599
Title of the Manuscript:	The exploration of endophyte fungi to Porang plant (<i>Amorphophallus muelleri</i> Blume) and its ability in controlling disease pathogens on Porang Plants
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important in agricultural area.</p> <p>The word "Porang plants" should be put in only 1 place (not 2) and plus "s" after "plant".</p> <p>The percentage of inhibition were not related to the results.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Not for now, please check the again carefully.</p> <p>Please check throughout the references again, I found some misspelled and incorrect form of ref.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not quite good, but enough to understand. Should be checked by native proof reader again before publish.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lots of misspelled words. 2. In abstract, A should be replaced by Aspergillus. 3. what difference between ref no. in () and [], pls check. 4. In introduction, there were too much semicolon. 5. What is "ose" in 2.4. 6. For all figure, please check and edit the letters represents every divided figures. Some disappeared, some incorrect. 7. Figure 2, please add SD bar. 8. In table 3, abc letter should be subscript. 9. The sentence "...are presented in Table..." no need any braces. 10. All ref must be written in the same form. 11. This is important. Check throughout the result. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thitiporn Ditsawanon
Department, University & Country	Rajabhat Rajanagarindra University, Thailand