

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116118
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of milk sources on whey protein and fractions of casein content
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes, but the abstract is a little bit lengthy. The author needs to make it briefer. The methodology sub-section of the abstract has very similar content as compared to the material and methods section.</p> <p>4. Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The author needs to mention whether this type of study has been done or is it a novel study. The references provided in the introduction section are insufficient. Provide more references which are relatable to the data mentioned in the section. <p>Material and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is unclear how many numbers of samples were used. If statistical tests were used, mention the tests. <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The results are having repetitions. The data given in 'Effect of source of milk protein on yield of caseins and whey proteins' are mentioned twice. Also, there are repetitions of the data in the 'Effect of source of protein on yield of various fractions of casein'. Discuss the relation of the findings with the relevant studies done previously, whether they are relatable or unrelatable. And what are the significances of this study. If possible, provide the pictures of SDS-PAGE gels. <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meet Thumar
Department, University & Country	Kamdhenu University, India