

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116118
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of milk sources on whey protein and fractions of casein content
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. The research on different fraction of protein, casein and whey proteins are important that are obtained from different species milk. It gives an idea of protein content of different species milk. Author could have fractionated the even whey proteins fractions viz. beta lactoglobulin, alpha- lactalbumin etc.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable for this article but can be changed as suggested in the comments.</p> <p>3. Yes. But too lengthy.</p> <p>4. Few results are missing that has given in the methodology like MW analysis. Yield and content of protein estimation should be given in the methodology. Discussion is not sufficiently made and results are repeated under the same heading. Either fig or table can be given to present the results.</p> <p>5. Correct. But some data are not available like whey protein fractions and MW analysis etc.</p> <p>6. References given are not sufficient. Data mentioned in the conclusion is not found in the results. Hence the article suffers lack of data results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Many words are merged and language used is below average.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. P. Pushpa
Department, University & Country	Dairy Science College, KVAFSU, India