

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>                             |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JSRR_116606</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>DEVELOPMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF SYMBIOTIC RED BANANA YOGHURT</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1. This work has focused on development evaluation of symbiotic red banana yoghurt Before publication, some points are needed to be considered.</p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, need some</b> final grammar checking</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. No, need more reference.<br/>Please check all references format in the text.</p> <p>Some typographic errors should be corrected and final grammar checking is recommended. Major portion of the discussion chapter looks like summary of results, rather than discussion of the results. List the results under the results section and provide a clear discussion of the results under discussion section.<br/>All species names must be italicized.<br/>The discussion part should be added more details. Also, the comparison between your results and other reported investigation.<br/>The introduction is unfocused and its structure and clarity should be improved.</p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Some typographic errors should be corrected and final grammar checking is recommended</p>   |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Ardeshir Hesampour</b>            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b> |