

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_116873
Title of the Manuscript:	Supraventricular tachy-arrhythmia revealing an arrhythmogenic right ventricle cardiomyopathy in an adolescent: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.The manuscript is an important topic, as the diagnosed can easily be missed if not kin.</p> <p>2. Article is suitable</p> <p>3. abstract need to be more focussed for paediatrics</p> <p>4. Introduction and discussion is mixed up, this need more attention. Introduction should discuss past cases and what is already known including the criteria of diagnosis. In discussion discuss your case comparing with other studies. In the case- what does normochromic microcytic anemia mean? Did you do blood sugar? How sensitive/specific is ECG for diagnosis?</p> <p>5. It is scientifically correct</p> <p>7. References are correct</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please check spelling mistakes eg, lipothymia</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs to be re written, considering above points.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varsha Vekaria-Hirani
Department, University & Country	MP Shah Hospital, Kenya