

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJGO_117235</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Case report of massive abdomen hemoperitoneum due to uterine fibroid rupture in nulliparous women</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes</b> - Though the Uterine Fibroids is a common finding in clinical setting, haemoperitonium resulting from rupture of fibroid vessels is very rare. Therefore publishing such a clinical case report is important.</p> <p>The Title is bit long. It could be written as follows: Massive Haemoperitonium due rupture of surface vessels over uterine fibroid – A Case Report.</p> <p><b>It is concise. However grammatical errors need to be taken care of.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. Appropriate.</b>  <u>Introduction:</u> Need a few Corrections  a) Bracketing of reference number should be similar (ex. (1), [3]....  b) Too many grammatical errors and English language need to edited  c) suggest to take the help of an English expert  <u>Case Report:</u> <b>Too many</b> Grammatical errors need to be corrected, English language need to edited  <u>Discussion:</u> It is written aptly. Referencing in the text need to be written correctly and grammatical errors to be taken care of.  <u>Conclusion:</u> Is precise and supported by the content. Importance of presenting the case report is highlighted.  <u>References:</u> Reference numbers from 4-10 started with hyphen. Needs to be written correctly in Vancouver style</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Too Many Grammatical Errors and English language need to be edited	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is a Good Article to be published	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sr. Susheela Gayam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vijay Marie Hospital &amp; Educational Society, India</b>