

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_116593
Title of the Manuscript:	Bovine renal lipofuscinosis in a Tanzanian crossbred yearling male calf: A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes As we know that cattle with kidney and regional lymph node involvement are susceptible to a localized condition known as BRL. Until recently, the only conclusion that could be drawn from this unintentional discovery made after slaughter was that the kidneys were unfit for human consumption and recent researches suggest that BRL may have a deleterious effect on the health of affected animals. Therefore, coping mechanisms could be the subject of additional investigation.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes A couple references do not require to include the assessment date because the publication year and link are provided, while one reference lacks a publication year despite having a link.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Extremely few grammar errors</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dimpee Singh Gonge
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry Mhow (Indore), NDVSU, India