

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_115988
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Intelligence in the Effective Execution Process of Construction Projects in the Future
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. No. The title can be modified to “Application of Artificial Intelligence in the Effective Execution Process of Construction Projects in the Future”</p> <p>3. No. The abstract should be reviewed; it doesn't provide more insight into the research findings.</p> <p>4. No</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The title of the manuscript should be modified to either Application of artificial intelligence in the Effective Execution Process of Construction Projects in the Future or Assessing the Effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Construction Project Execution: An Applied Research Approach.</p> <p>The references are recent references which are good but the references contain too many repetitions such as reference 3&4, 7&8, and 20&21. Although authors should include more references up to 30. Also, review reference, because there is an additional reference on it.</p> <p>The figures on the text were not cited in the text and also replace “chart” with figures and they should be appropriately cited in the text.</p> <p>“Through the utilization of machine learning algorithms, construction enterprises can analyze large datasets to extract valuable insights for informed decision-making processes and predictive maintenance strategies.” The author should mention the different types of machine learning algorithm that can be utilized in the construction enterprise.</p> <p>The introduction is well structured but too lengthy and should be summarized. Most importantly from “There exists significant potential for its application within the construction sector given the achievements of AI in various industries.....”</p> <p>On page 5, under Automation, there is a repetition of the word “Project management”</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Replace the word Ai with “AI” in the text. The authors should proof read the manuscript. There are so man grammatical errors.</p> <p>The applied research methodology explained in the abstract wasn’t expressed in the manuscript whereas the methodology wasn’t cited. The authors should provide more information on the applied research methodology.</p> <p>Include a section for Theoretical frameworks and models in AI-enabled construction project management in the manuscript as part o the literature review.</p> <p>At the introduction, the authors should write the aim and objectives in a speech form.</p> <p>The conclusion should be modified without repeating points such as “The most significant findings derived from this comprehensive study are as follows:.....” and still summarizing the conclusion.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Humphrey Sam
Department, University & Country	Federal University Wukari, Nigeria