

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116422
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate-smart pest management strategies: under changing climatic scenarios
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, climate-smart pest management (CSPM) is a crucial area of research for the scientific community. Climate change significantly impacts pest populations and agricultural systems. Developing effective CSPM strategies is essential for ensuring food security and sustainable agriculture.</p> <p>The title "Climate-smart pest management strategies: under changing climatic scenarios" is clear and concise. It accurately reflects the manuscript's focus on CSPM strategies in a changing climate.</p> <p>The abstract is concise, typically around 150-250 words.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript appears logical, with clear headings and organization.</p> <p>The manuscript presents a scientifically accurate and valuable contribution to the field of climate-smart pest management.</p> <p>The reference list appears comprehensive, including a good mix of recent and relevant publications (within the last 5-10 years) from reputable scientific journals in the field of pest management and climate change research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language appears clear, concise, and grammatically correct, making it suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Follow the APA style of referencing. Kranti et al., 2005, missing reference Pathak, 2023, missing reference Sharma, H.C. (2016), not cited in the main work</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abel Gomina</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria</b>