

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_114614
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICIENCY OF FOUR REPRODUCTIVE HORMONES IN THE ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION OF <i>Heterotis niloticus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)
Type of the Article	

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community with additional information of latest developments in the current research.</p> <p>2. The article title may be updated based on the outcome of the article and comparative analysis.</p> <p>3. The abstract can be updated with comparison of the work with latest research in this area and adding more information of contributions made by authors.</p> <p>4. Neat block diagram or flow diagram of the research work may be drawn to show the steps involved in the research.</p> <p>5. Tables may be added to enhance the comparison of the work with latest research.</p> <p>6. More recent papers should be included.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language may be improved by using latest software tools.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Need more clarification	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prakash H. Unki
Department, University & Country	BLDEA's V.P. Dr. P.G. Halakatti College of Engineering and Technology, India