Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_117288**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Blended Teaching Approach in the Eyes of Filipino Teachers: A Phenomenological Study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1. Yes it is important and valuable addition for scientific community due to the vast need to acquire such technological change in educational arena.** **2. The title of the article should be more innovative and attractive. It is ok but little bit modification is vital because I think and I suggest : " A comprehensive study on Blended teaching with the perspective of filipino Teachers" or it more be enhanced version of words used for title.** **3. Yes it is ok but I would suggest and I think it should be more elaborative in term of grammatical sense because sentence structuring is poor which create ambiguity.** **4. Yes it is well defined and detailed subsections but I suggest it is required to review and blended some sections to make one section that would be enhanced the topic relevancy for researchers.** **5. Yes obviously it is a good approach to discuss the issues related to education which is the fundamental condition to uplift the education's quality and the manuscript is scientifically well explanatory and if using any technological tool to visualize some hidden aspect and results it would be add more value.** **6. There is one or two paper of 2023 found but latest publications in 2023,2024 I think it must be added latest papers which reflect the future dimensions and also beneficial for the research community.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	1. I think it's really need to improve because I personally feel as a scholar it is needed and necessary to improve in terms of grammatical point of view because poor grammatical structuring and repeating of sentences is almost reflect throughout in the article so my suggestions and I strongly recommend avoid repeating things and required visual representation of experimental results.	
Optional/General comments	The work is very well and the topic is good to choose and shed the light and identify the issues which is very important to improve the quality of education, but I feel that repeations of statement is creating mess to understand the actual idea .	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Saira Laiquat**
Department, University & Country	**The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan**