

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

# Analysis of Beef Cattle Farmers Income in Dusun IV, Pergulaan Village, SeiRampah District, SerdangBedagai Regency, Indonesia

10  
11

---

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** Business analysis is very important to determine beef cattle farmers' income and the business's feasibility.

**Study design:** This descriptive and quantitative research focuses on the variable income conditions of beef cattle breeders in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village, SeiRampah District, SerdangBedagai Regency.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The population of this study consisted of beef cattle breeders in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village, SeiRampah District, Serdang Bedagai Regency. Between April 2024 and May 2024, a census comprised ten farmers.

**Methodology:** Analysis of income and business feasibility.

**Results:** The analysis results show that the beef cattle farming business is economically profitable. The results include an annual profit rate of Rp. 280,000,000 and an average net income of Rp. 108,525,000. Because the revenue-cost ratio value is 1.6, this type of business is worth pursuing in terms of business feasibility.

**Conclusion:** The beef cattle farming business in Hamlet IV Pergulaan Village has an economic profit and is worth developing and pursuing.

12  
13  
14  
15

*Keywords: Analysis, Beef Cattle, Income, Revenue*

16  
17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

18  
19  
20  
21  
22

The need for livestock ingredients will continue to increase along with the increase in population, income, and public awareness of consuming more nutritious food as a result of increasing the average education level of the population [1]. Therefore, livestock development strategies have good prospects for the future [2].

23  
24  
25  
26

One of these developments is the agricultural sector, which includes animal husbandry. One type of livestock farming often carried out by rural communities is raising beef cattle, which is a successful community livestock business if it has contributed income and can meet the daily living needs of farmers [3]. The increase in the number of breeders, growth in livestock weight, and growth in the number of beef cattle shows this.

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

One area that shows progress in beef cattle farming is in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village. The number of beef cattle in Hamlet IV Pergulaan Village continues to increase every year due to progress in managing livestock businesses, both those carried out traditionally (offering) and intensively, such as fattening beef cattle. Hamlet IV Pergulaan Village has many beef cattle breeders. Because this business is only managed traditionally, it needs to be clarified how much profit or income is earned or received and how much costs are incurred.

34 So far, the traditional livestock development pattern passed down from parents to  
35 children has only been used as a side business in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village. Hopefully,  
36 this research can encourage breeders in Hamlet IV Pergulaan Village to change their  
37 mindset about raising beef cattle in a better way. Thus, they will be more motivated to  
38 improve their welfare and social status. In addition, livestock beef farmers can analyze their  
39 analysis of how to separate the components of revenue and costs that they will incur during  
40 one rearing period. This makes it easier for them to calculate their total income while raising  
41 beef cattle.

42  
43

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 44 2.1. Place and time of research

45 This study was conducted in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village, SeiRampah District,  
46 SerdangBedagai Regency, in April 2024. This location was chosen because it has much  
47 potential for developing livestock businesses, especially beef cattle. Apart from that, many  
48 local farmers have lots of beef cattle. A respondent must come from a beef cattle farmer in  
49 the research area. This research uses a survey method with a family analysis unit.

### 50 2.2. Type and Scope of Research

51 This quantitative descriptive research describes variable conditions, namely the income of  
52 beef cattle farmers. This study was conducted in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village, SeiRampah  
53 District, SerdangBedagai Regency. This research conducted direct interviews with farmers  
54 using a previously created questionnaire. The research location was chosen purposively  
55 because it is an area that has great potential for developing livestock businesses, especially  
56 beef cattle. This is also due to the scale of beef cattle many breeders own.

### 57 2.3. Data collection technique

58 The data collected in this research was collected through:

- 59 • Observation, namely directly observing the beef cattle farming business in Hamlet  
60 IV, Pergulaan Village;
- 61 • Questionnaires and interviews, namely collecting data by distributing questionnaires  
62 to farmers and talking directly with respondents.

### 63 2.4. Population and Sample

64 This research involved ten beef cattle breeders from Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village. This  
65 research takes samples via census or overall. The census method is also known as a  
66 complete enumeration of the population investigated or interviewed [4].

### 67 2.5. Data analysis method

68 The data analysis used to calculate the income of the Dusun IV Pergulaan Village beef cattle  
69 business is:

$$\begin{aligned} 70 \quad \Pi &= TR - TC \\ 71 \quad TR &= P_y \cdot Y \\ 72 \quad TC &= FC + VC \end{aligned}$$

73 Description :

74  $\Pi$  :Level of income or profit in the beef cattle farming business

75 TR :Total business revenue

76 TC :Total cost

77 FC :Fixed cost

78 VC :Variable cost

79 Y : Production obtained in a business.

80  $P_y$  :Price Y

81 AnalysisReturn of Ratio (R/C Ratio)  
 82 Calculating the balance between income and costs is a way to determine the relative  
 83 advantage of a beef cattle business. The balance value can be calculated using the cash or  
 84 total expense receipt ratio.  
 85

$$R / C \text{Ratio} = \frac{\text{Total Revenue}}{\text{Total Cost}}$$

86  
 87 By criteria:  
 88 RCR > 1 : Beef cattle business feasible (profitable).  
 89 RCR = 1 : Beef cattle business is at the break-even point.  
 90 RCR <1 : Beef cattle business is not feasible (unprofitable).  
 91

### 92 93 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

94  
 95 3.1. Respondent Characteristics  
 96 Age, education, number of children, and experience raising cattle are the characteristics of  
 97 respondents from beef cattle farmers in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village. Table 1 shows data  
 98 on respondent characteristics.

99 **Table 1.** Characteristics of Respondents

No	Description	Unit	Range		Average
			Lowest	Highest	
1.	Age	Year	24	38	31
2.	Education	Year	6	9	8
3.	Number of children	Person	0	2	1
4.	Breeding experience	Year	2	7	4

100 *Source: Primary Data (processed), 2024.*

101  
 102 “The age of beef cattle business respondents in the study area ranged between 24  
 103 and 38 years, with an average age of 31, indicating that the sample was productive. A  
 104 person's age affects their ability to make decisions and engage in physical activity.  
 105 Performance and productivity are linked to money. A person's ability to do work tends to  
 106 decrease with age. The productive age group is people aged between 15 and 55 years.  
 107 They are considered to have relatively high beef cattle farming capabilities”. [5]

108 The level of education in human resources greatly influences the technology and  
 109 skills required for a cattle farming business. Formal education is included in the education  
 110 category, measured quantitatively by the years of further education, and then adjusted to the  
 111 general education level. The sample's ability to manage their business is the goal of the  
 112 educational discussion [6]. This is related to various information, such as the sample's  
 113 knowledge about selecting and maintaining seeds and controlling beef cattle diseases in  
 114 Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village.

115 The data shows that the average sample level is eight years or the equivalent of  
 116 junior and senior high school education. Hence, the management of beef cattle livestock  
 117 businesses places more emphasis on technical skills acquired from generation to generation  
 118 and receiving technical training from related institutions.

119 The number of dependent children greatly influences farmers' expenses.  
 120 Expenditures on consumer goods increase with the number of family dependents [7]. If not  
 121 supported by adequate household income, the sample will reduce the money beef cattle  
 122 businesses spend. Apart from that, the beef cattle business patterns managed by  
 123 respondents will also be affected. The cattle farming business has an average sample

124 dependency of 1 person, meaning that the number of workers required is manageable and  
125 can save income.

126 "Most beef cattle farmers have experience running their business from 2 to 7 years,  
127 with an average age of four. It shows they are quite experienced because they have been  
128 involved in the beef cattle business since the beginning of commercialization. Respondent  
129 demographics included age, education, number of children, and experience. The average  
130 age of respondents is 31 years, which shows that this sample is productive. They have an  
131 education level equivalent to junior high school and an average of one supported child,  
132 indicating that there is much labor in the family ready to be used for business. Experience  
133 will improve a person's work skills" [8].

### 134 3.2. Cost and Revenue Analysis

#### 135 3.2.1. Cost analysis

136 "Managing a beef cattle business involves fixed and variable costs. *Fixed costs* can be  
137 defined as costs that cannot be fixed (constant) for each level of output produced or costs  
138 that are not exhausted in one production period and remain excluded, even if they do not  
139 produce results. Depreciation of cages and equipment are fixed costs incurred by this beef  
140 cattle farming business. One way to find out depreciation costs is to divide the item's initial  
141 value by the item's final value divided by the time of use. Depreciation costs are obtained by  
142 dividing the investment price by the years the investment is estimated to be used" [9].

143  
144 Costs that can change according to the size of the production volume or the costs  
145 used up during the production process are called variable costs. The variable costs borne by  
146 this beef cattle business include the initial costs of raising beef cattle, the costs of adding a  
147 feed, the costs of medicines, vitamins, and labor wages. Table 2 shows the average costs  
148 incurred for this business.

149 **Table 2.** Average Costs Expended in Livestock Business

No	Description	Business Value (Rp)
<b>1</b>	<b>Fix Cost</b>	<b>75.000</b>
	1.1. Depreciation costs	75.000
	1.2. Tax costs	-
<b>2</b>	<b>Variable Cost</b>	<b>171.400.000</b>
	2.1. Cost of Production Facilities	164.200.000
	2.2. Labor costs	7.200.000
<b>3</b>	<b>Total Cost (1+2)</b>	<b>171.475.000</b>

Source: Primary Data (processed), 2024.

150  
151  
152 Table 2 shows that the beef cattle farming business at the research location incurs  
153 fixed costs of Rp. 75.000 rupiahs for the depreciation of cages and livestock equipment.  
154 Variable costs consist of production and labor costs. Production facilities for the beef cattle  
155 business in Hamlet IV, Pergulaan Village, SeiRampah District, SerdangBedagai Regency,  
156 amount to Rp. 164.200.000 consists of the costs of vitamins, medicines, and livestock prices  
157 at the beginning of the year. Labor costs are Rp. 7.200.000. Based on the research results,  
158 variable costs are greater than costs. Fixed costs affect the profit level of beef cattle farmers  
159 but do not affect the amount of production produced. On the other hand, variable costs  
160 influence production [10].  
161

162 3.2.2. *Analysis of Annual Revenue*  
 163 Production and prices at harvest determine the size of the income of a beef cattle business.  
 164 Table 3 shows the income and average income of beef cattle businesses.

165 **Table 3.** Cattle farming business income per year  
 166

No	Description	Business Value (Rp)
1	<i>Fix Cost</i>	75.000
2	<i>Variable Cost</i>	171.400.000
3	<b>Total Cost (1+2)</b>	<b>171.475.000</b>
4	<b>Total Revenue (P*Q)</b>	<b>280.000.000</b>
5	<b>Net Profit (4 - 3)</b>	<b>108.525.000</b>

Source: Primary Data (processed), 2024.

167  
 168 Table 3 shows the annual production value, or income, of businesses in this  
 169 research area of Rp. 280.000.000. Beef cattle are sold alive. Adding fixed and variable costs  
 170 produces a total cost of Rp. 171.475.000. The data results in Table 2 show the net income of  
 171 beef cattle breeders from all revenues minus total costs of Rp. 108.600.000 per year. A beef  
 172 cattle business may be worth developing if the assessment criteria show that the business is  
 173 profitable if the price level multiplied by the amount of beef production exceeds all costs.  
 174 Maximizing profits is usually achieved through increasing technical efficiency [11].

175  
 176 3.2.3. *Annual Income Analysis*

177 The net profit of beef cattle per year can be seen in Table 4.

178 **Table 4.** Distribution of Income Levels in Beef Cattle Research Areas

Statistik Deskriptif Net income				
Description	Sample	Lowest	Highest	Average
Net Income	10	Rp. 57.825.000	Rp. 173.625.000	Rp. 115.800.000

Source: Primary Data (processed), 2017.

179  
 180 Table 4 shows that beef cattle farming businesses in the research area have the  
 181 lowest net income of Rp. 57.825.000 rupiah every year, with the highest net income of Rp.  
 182 173.625.000. "Therefore, beef cattle breeders in the study area earn an average net income  
 183 of Rp. 115.800.000 every month. This is caused by differences in the amount of business  
 184 production and the use of superior seeds owned by each farmer, which in turn causes  
 185 differences in the amount of production produced. Differences will influence the costs  
 186 incurred and farmers' income in the production generated" [12]. "According to a survey  
 187 conducted at the research site, the difference in production also lies in the scale of the  
 188 business. The larger the business scale, the greater the production and income. Conversely,  
 189 the smaller the size of the beef cattle, the less production they produce" [13]. "In addition,  
 190 farmers' business production in the research area is influenced by their experience.  
 191 Business experience increases production and income" [14].

192  
 193 3.2.4. *Economic Analysis and Business Feasibility*

194 Table 5 shows the feasibility analysis of beef cattle farming in Hamlet IV Pergulaan Village  
195 after production cost analysis and income analysis.

196  
197  
198

**Table 5.** Feasibility Analysis of Beef Cattle Business

No	Description	Unit	Business Value
1	Total Cost	Rupiah	171.475.000
2	Total Revenue	Rupiah	280.000.000
3	Net Profit (2 - 1)	Rupiah	108.525.000
4	Revenue Cost Ratio ( 2/1 )	-	1,63

199

*Source: Primary Data (processed), 2024.*

200 Table 5 shows that the R/C ratio of 1.63 is obtained by comparing the total income  
201 of 280.000.000 rupiahs with total costs of 171.475.000. This shows that the beef cattle  
202 farming business in Hamlet IV Pergulaan Village is worth pursuing because the R/C value is  
203 more than 1, namely an average value of 1.63, which means that beef cattle breeders will  
204 receive 1.63 of the production costs. Considering these circumstances, local cattle farming is  
205 worthy of research and can even be developed. [15].

206  
207

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

208

209 The research results show that the beef cattle farming business in Hamlet IV Pergulaan  
210 Village still has an economic profit of IDR. 280,000,000. per year and an average net income  
211 of Rp. 108,525,000 per year. With an RCR value of 1.63, this business is worth developing  
212 and pursuing.

213  
214

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

215

216 RafikiMunthohari designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol,  
217 andwrote the first draft of the manuscript. NurAsmaq and Julia Marisa managed the  
218 analyses ofthe study. Sukma Aditya Sitepu managed the literature searches. All authors  
219 read and approved the final manuscript.

220  
221  
222

#### **REFERENCES**

223

- 224 1. Sitepu, S. A., Marisa, J., Putra, A., and Asmaq, N. 2021. Technology in Livestock  
225 Development. Throne Media Group.
- 226 2. Abidin, Z. 2002. Beef Cattle Fattening. Jakarta: PT. Agro Media Pustaka.
- 227 3. Marisa, J., Sukma, S., and Sitepu, A. 2020. Institutional Model of Beef Cattle Business in  
228 Klambir V Village, Hamparan Perak District, Deli Serdang Regency, North Sumatra.  
229 Proceedings of the National Webminar Series on Integrated Agricultural Systems in  
230 Empowering Farmers in the New Normal Era, 438-446.
- 231 4. Amri, S. 2009. Analysis of Income of Beef Cattle Farmers in Stabat District, Langkat  
232 Regency. Medan. Faculty of Agriculture, University of North Sumatra.
- 233 5. Fathoni, A. H, 2004. Human Resource Management. Publisher PT. RinekaCipta,  
234 Jakarta.
- 235 6. Asmaq, N. 2022. PHYSICAL AND ORGANOLEPTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF GOAT  
236 MILK IN MEDAN CITY. Journal of Development and Research Agency, 10(2), 31-37.  
237

- 238 7. Sitepu, S. A., and Julia Marisa, S. P. 2020. Management of Etawa Peranakan Goat  
239 Dairy Farming. Media Scholar Partners.
- 240 8. Santosa, U. 1997. Prospects for Calf Fattening Agribusiness. PenebarSwadaya, Bogor:  
241 Animal Husbandry Research and Development Center, Department of Agriculture.  
242 Jakarta.
- 243 9. Marisa, J., Sitepu, S. A., and Rianto, A. A. 2022, November. Analysis of actors and  
244 activities in value chain business sheep faulting. In Proceedings International  
245 Conference of Science Technology and Social Humanities (Vol. 1, pp. 69-76).
- 246 10. Singarimbun, M. 2001. Survey Research Methods (Revised Edition). LP3ES, Jakarta.
- 247 11. Soekartawi. 2003. Agribusiness Theory and Applications. Publisher PT. Raja  
248 GrafindoPersada, Jakarta.
- 249 12. Soekartawi. 1995. Farming Analysis. Publisher, University of Indonesia, Jakarta
- 250 13. Asmaq, N. 2020. Nutritional quality of mozzarella cheese with the addition of different  
251 coagulants. RICE SERVICES, 4(2), 4-7.
- 252 14. Wirartha, I M. 2006. Socioeconomic Research Methods. Andi Publishers, Jakarta.
- 253 15. Marisa, J., and Sitepu, S. A. 2021. SOCIALIZATION OF AN INSTITUTIONAL MODEL  
254 OF SEAT SHEEP FARMING IN THE BANDAR SENEMBAH DISTRICT, BINJAI CITY.  
255 Proceedings of Education and Community Service Research 2021, 1(1), 1069-1774.