

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_117099
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Iron (Fe) Content in Breast Milk of Breastfeeding Mothers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. From a scientific perspective, the article meets the requirements because it has provided a scientific answer regarding the problem of anemia in breastfeeding mothers regarding the Fe content in breast milk using valid research methods. Writing the article uses standard and systematic language with the display of pictures and graphs</p> <p>2. The title is very clear</p> <p>3. The abstract has been arranged according to the template so that it has a complete description of the entire research process.</p> <p>4. The completeness of the elements in the content of the article is in accordance with the rules for writing a scientific article</p> <p>5. The content of the article is in accordance with the rules and scientific elements have also been fulfilled, where the writing is communicative using words that are easy to understand. The contents of the thoughts expressed are arranged sequentially. The discussion is quite in-depth and is supported by a clear and appropriate theoretical basis. The data requirements have been met and the methods used are in accordance with the research objectives</p> <p>6. The references quoted are journals published in the last 5 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is appropriate where the language is structured and easy to understand as a communication tool in an international article</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meildy Esthevanus Pascoal
Department, University & Country	Indonesia