

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116821
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Yield Attributes and Yield of Chickpea as Influenced with Application of different sources of Phosphorus and Sulphur Levels
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but please see Suggestion</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Impact of Application of different sources of Phosphorus and Sulphur Levels on Growth, Yield Attributes and Performance of Chickpea at Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner</p> <p>Overall plot size has been provided in the manuscript however, treatment plot sizes may also be added.</p> <p>Seed variety and seed rate may also be mentioned</p> <p>In fig-1 percentage values needs to be reconciled with writeup figures</p> <p>In fig 1 "Sorces of phosphorus" may be replaced with "Sources of phosphorus".</p> <p>Placement of fig 2 and fig 3 may be checked according to writeup which creating confusion and percentage values also need to be reconciled with writeup figures</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shafiq Ur Rehman
Department, University & Country	Water Management Training Institute, Pakistan