

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRID_116987</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Expert opinion on the prescription practice of cefuroxime and cefuroxime+ clavulanic acid in the management of infections in routine Indian settings</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes,</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>No,</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes,</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>#Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While the introduction provides extensive information on global burden of infections, it could benefit from further contextualization within the Indian healthcare system to highlight specific challenges or nuances relevant to the study.</li> <li>Although antimicrobial resistance is mentioned briefly, considering its significant impact on treatment outcomes and prescription practices, a more detailed introduction on this topic could enhance the relevance of this study.</li> <li>The introduction contains a substantial amount of statistical data and technical information, which may overwhelm readers and detract from the main objectives. Please try to revise this part.</li> <li>In the last paragraph of the introduction, you seem to have drawn ideas from single study without addressing any gaps of research to India that you are going to address.</li> </ol> <p># Materials and methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While you mention that the study was carried out in the major cities of Indian, but you did not mention the cities to association the expert opinion with their geographical areas.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>6. You stated the use of CARE questionnaire, comprising 20 items, but you did not mention whether it was pretested or pilot study before you put in actual study? If you validate</p> <p># Results</p> <p>7. In the results demographic data of patients, severity of infection, previous treatment history, co-morbidity and experiences of experts are very important, but you did not mention anything about it.</p> <p>#discussion</p> <p>8. While discussion focuses on the efficacy of cefuroxime and its combination with clavulanic acid, it may not fully explore the potential of treatment option or consider broader antibiotic stewardship strategy.</p> <p>9. Although the researcher acknowledges bias in expert judgment in the discussion, specific measures taken to reduce or address this bias are not provided.</p>	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Temesgen Anjulo
Department, University & Country	Walailak University, Thailand