

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_117180
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOGRAPHIC ACCESSIBILITY OF GENERAL PRACTITIONERS BY CAR AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT - A STUDY USING THE MINIMUM TRAVEL TIME METHOD IN A RURAL AREA IN THE NORTH-EAST OF GERMANY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but does it comply with the journal pattern? Please check</p> <p>In Abstract Section they need not write subsections as Methods, Results and Conclusions. Abstract is the gist of entire work including methods, results and conclusions without the subsections.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The authors should take care on line spacing, spacing between words while writing a scientific article. I suggest them to correct before publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is good but, the authors have to take care on spacing between words before submission</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work is scientifically good, and can be to the other regions of the country for the public benefit.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.Raghu Babu
Department, University & Country	Yogi Vemana University, India