

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_117180
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOGRAPHIC ACCESSIBILITY OF GENERAL PRACTITIONERS BY CAR AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT - A STUDY USING THE MINIMUM TRAVEL TIME METHOD IN A RURAL AREA IN THE NORTH-EAST OF GERMANY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper is good and the outcome is useful to local government.</p> <p>Yes, but it is too long,</p> <p>OK</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References should be given in proper style. Preferably it should be mentioned in APA style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Its satisfactory</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper may be accepted for publication, as per policy of journal. It is highly recommended that all the tables and maps which are given at the end should be made available to the readers. If possible all figures and tables should added in text. The discussions and outcome may be better explained with the help of graphs.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arun Kumar Dwivedi
Department, University & Country	Sandip University, India