

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117041
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrity Club in Schools: A Best Practice to Foster Values Beyond the Curriculum
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. In an era of technology, where moral erosion is taking place, the subject on hand comes to the rescue of scientific community. Moral evaluation supplies answers not only to what is legal or illegal, but also to what is good and better for society.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate but lengthy. It could be : 'A critical appraisal of Integrity clubs in Bhutan'.</p> <p>3. The abstract contains 156 words with 8 key words. Most of them are being repeated. Key words are to be chosen from the given title.</p> <p>4. Introduction, background, significance, methodology, sampling strategies, hypotheses, data analysis, reliability analysis, literature review, result, discussion, conclusion, limitations. The literature survey should have been incorporated after background of the study followed by limitations. In research the word limitations is used as <b>delimitations</b>. Conclusion should be the end part of your presentation. Further, null hypotheses should be stated as <b>HO</b>. Moreover, <b>annexures</b> are not essential unless demanded by the publishers.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is designed scientifically , anyhow, check significance of the study and conclusion.</p> <p>6. Nearly 25 references were cited up to 2024.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language used is academically good still it can be refined.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Re-examine these sentences:</b></p> <p>1. Youth plays a crucial <b>role in</b> national objectives and policymaking. (p.3)</p> <p>2. A bribe was paid by 27% of individuals <b>under 30</b> in the past 12 months(p.6)</p> <p>3. Survey item C25 specifically <b>assesses</b> the youth's value (p.9)</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N. Samuel Babu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Holy Mary Institute of Technology &amp; Science, India</b>