

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_116541
Title of the Manuscript:	Probability of ruin under a system of Modified Life Reserves
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is hoped that, the paper may have some insightful contribution in the related fields.</p> <p>2. It would be better to add the context in the title..</p> <p>3. Use on pronoun in the abstract seems meaningless. The abstract should include; background, research issue, research methods, findings and implication of the study followed by few sentences on scope for future research.</p> <p>4. No. Follow the guidelines of journals or research format.</p> <p>5. No, the scientific research process should be followed.</p> <p>6. The citation and references are not well formatted as per style of formatting such as APA, MLA or any other as required by journal.</p> <p>7. Other comments,</p> <p>Clearly mention the review of literatures, research methods and results followed by discussion and implications of the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs revision	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Follow the format of research manuscript. Check for all the citations and references. Major findings should come before the conclusion of the study. Discussion and implications are missing. Better to Add: JEL Classifications. Clearly define the population and sampling methods. Clearly specify the research design and scope of the research.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nischal Risal
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal